NAME: HUNTER, JERICE YATON	CASE NO(\$): VCR18(1941 VF
□ OTHER ORDERS	JAIL COMMITMENT / CUSTODY STATUS
Pay Fine 8 plus pentity assectment	SERVEdays / mattes in joil. 📮 Forthwith
Pay 5 Hestipilon Free per 1202 4 PC pha	is steen to an insu of community \$60 size
\$(10%) Admin, fee	
Security for per 1405.6(a);1) PC.	suspanded / Ail Apap Led
SHOW PRIDO! OF: Commission of Legals someone state	CTS:days +4019 PG time ~Total
☐ Fine / (see, paid in Ref. by	
ws Comen Serv-Yolunteer work by	Attenuative serionoling program (recommended (denied (West tertough () typy () wronmenoud () denied
[] Resultation (8% by	☐ Work fortaugh ☐ trix ☐ Missinmenaud ☐ danest
☐ FTA Clearance isby	☐ Work Nationals ☐ Conv. ☐ Minchimenous ☐ Conv. ☐ Conv. ☐ Commercials ☐ Conv. ☐ Commercials ☐ Conv. ☐ Commercials ☐ Conv. ☐ Conv. ☐ Commercials ☐ Conv.
C Re-enroli DNI class/8838'	☐ Report to ☐ ASP ☐ SDE selible 3 working days Detendant ☐ is ☐ is not eligible for these credito:
windeys	☐ good tin-re/work time ☐ day for day ☐ day for duy work
Complete by Thru Prob 🗋 Court	TI BOOK A RELEASE by
L) Restricted Montes gramed: bo. from, during employment. Doll acting / grograms left	(i) on - a pri. Menday this Pritty; Pay joli sees of \$ per day of buttonly.
	I □ ipitises assisefi
PROB. VIOLATION PROC. PROGRESS REPT.	Sorro 1 day ke wech \$
Def: 🗋 admits: 📋 déniée, probation viulation, bases des	REMANDED UNIT TOXI EXPERIENCE - NO DITE RELEASE.
; new violation(s) in Gase 4 □PÓ /epo1	Ball set at \$
α,	☐ Reduced/Increased to \$ ☐ Print. Band signifies
Commed woir dires datendent submits wawer of rights.	C Until picked up by
Court soccets admission after blocking, intel part waster of debts, sinding admission to be free 4 voluntary with adequate	Allowedphone calls _ [] at County experses
Decilial Berole.	Institute
(Peo s witness/es/:	
	[] all ha Shoriffo discretion contact visit shall and delay defandant a franceport to CSC
∐ the*s withtest(es):	□ Release to P.O. for progress □ screening □ placement □ Refer for □ 908 □ CES □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □
Gn.id □ finds dot in violation	☐ Revier for ☐ SOR ☐ CTS ☐ ☐
in dimmission for weighter. I he ection taken.	<u>RELEASED / CONTINUED</u> [2] Request for DR yearlest / derived
invokas probellem, hazad op:	□ ne □ sne □ sate. □ per □ PROPATION
	In custody office cache(a) INTO INTO PENDINA
☐ Referred for ☐ 5t.pp. ☐ Progress	☐ RELEASE PÉNDING CTHER MOLDS Release conditions: ☐ Clory Millars
☐ Reported to prohe ☐ fodey ☐ by / nn	"I Tribute shetnin from the use of [" alconol [,]; likt drugs.
☐ Probation its: ☐ Revoked and Reinstated	☐ Supprise ☐ 6 coins ☐ unup testing ☐ ☐ X's per we.
Continued C some terral conditions L full force & effect.	AANA testing may be changed at PO's discretion. Search a hearth. The not possess drug as subhinishs
☐ reinstated - SEE PROBATION ORDER FOR	[[15 FO] Appearable on introdesting flower.
MODIFICATION(9).	Attend AA or NA roops. 🗔 per wt. / mb 🗔 locb/e
	☐ Bring proof to count. ☐ Do not drive without a velid ditiraris flowings.
🗔 (+-rminoled) as 📋 vukobseluk 🔲reucoccoriu	The same it is not appropriate and the state of Properties.
☐ Pet, grosted per §1203.4 PC. Quittyinois plea with@rtywn, 0- quitty verd or set apido, not quitty plea e-troned. Case dismissed ☐ reduced to misd. per §17 PC.	Do not present any weapons of sever unition. No control No waimtee contol with Stay Away from
Post granted per 1210.1(d) PC pies 881 gaids. Case dismissed	Const Names, whitey, shreaten
Any unfulfilled femile in this case are transferred to Case.	CLETS order signed, filed, favoid. Barvoid on deflexibilit. Gither:
No	
☐ Statistica grigoratable as circl judgment per 1203 (ji PC.	OF THE CONTRACT OF THE CONTRAC
TO THE SHEMPS: LOFISTIFY THE FIX ILLODING TO BE A TRUE OF ABOVE-NAMED JUDGE	\ \L\\/0 n ~\
LINDA Q. ASHCRAFT, CLEAK / GFO	BY
Self-weignert, DC MC MCI (ANN EN POP CHIEF. HEM CATE PERCONNELIZIONI ANNO 1997 THAT () Continuous proper and 10 appears as all femals and proper as a subsection of the property of the contribute improved CV (in a contribute improved CV) and contribute improved CV (in a contribute improved CV) and contribute improved contribute in a specific subsection of the property of the property of the contribute in the property of the contribute in the contribute in the property of the contribute in the property of the contribute in the property of the contribute in the cont	un'i promises non to priper i les appéssées ni lieux d'il the court, 4) destinates algements five trains d'i Calèurelle and i Si dote aven authonnées par la fine has basen naparren d'il lié und religion of land salitudy 14 et le paper l'enne le public et la relationne en la manure (le la directionne salitud le paritie d'i prépay aven monte by renamed by not mont maniferent (le le le partition salitud le paritie d'i prépay aven monte by renamed by not mont maniferent (le le le partition salitud le paritie d'i prépay aven monte by renamed by not mont maniferent (le).
DEFENDANT	
ADDRESS	Without tiese
ABUHESS	
DOB:PH;NE NO	merproner Date

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SOLANO Criminal Minute Order

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA VS	CASE NO (5): VCR 180941 VF
HUNTER, JERICE YATON	DATE:
Defendant present not present	JUDGE/COMM: JOPE
PEOPLE: Deputy D.A.	\ her d - a
DEFENSE: Private P.D. C.D.	REPORTER: TO OSE A
INTERPRETER	CLERK: J. Dlan_
Spanish	
Court(s) / Charges(s): 273d(a) -PC, 273d(a) -PC, 273d(a) -PC, 273d(a) -PC Deft l.D.#: @394833
DOB: 10/13/1973 Dr. License #: Booking #: 05-14570 D.A. #: V053478	Deft Status: CJ Arrest Agency: VJPD Citation #: Violation Date: 04/20/2005
1	DEFT. TOTALL FOR OLD
ARR / FUR. ARR COUNSEL/PLEA POSS DISM	BENCH WARRANT / BAIL ORDERS
ADMIT/DENY DREV PROC PROGRPT PROGRPT PROBRET.	B / W Ordered - Ball \$ NO CITE RELEASE
R/45	B / W Ordered - Ball \$
Advised pursuant to \$967.5 PC. Financial statement filed.	☐ Vacated ☐ Recalled from ☐ S.O. ☐
Deft eligible ineligible, for court-appointed coursel.	Bail Forfeited - Sond #
P.D. registration fee ordered waived.	☐ Ceah ☐ In lieu of fine ☐ Court finds valid excuse for defendant's failure to appear.
declares conflict; relieved as counsel.	Reinstated Exponerated after 15 days if no Comp. filled.
Court appoints	Reassumption of liability filed. Forfeiture set aside.
☐ Welves straignment ☐ Waives advice of rights ☐ Arraigned ☐ Advised of rights	Time Waived Pro Rata Not Waived tor Trial J&S
Defit's true name above stated	Jury Trial Demended Est, time for trial days
☐ Discov. ☐ Police rept ☐ Transcript ☐ INTP ☐ PENDING ☐ Court grants DA's Motion to Amend to ☐ Add ☐ Reduce	□ Waived □ Confirmed □ Vacated □
	Set or TRLC (or see below) RI Dept.
Cnt(s) Section(s) Deft pleads: Deft withdraws previous plea(s) & pleads	Last date for trialNG Plea date
Guilty Male Contenders	SEE PAGE 3 RE: Prison
to all count(s) count(s)	Y
section(a) Criminal proceedings suspended reinstated	
Deft ordered to complete D.A. Diversion. Deft placed on informal Diversion for 6 months re dismissal.	
Pursuant to Pec vs West S17 PC \$1192.5 PC	
Reserve right to file demurrer.	
	On Motion of Court DA Defense Probation,
	CONT. TOAT
Admit DenyPrior(s)	DEPT, FOR: (See below)
Admit DenyEnhancement(s)	Further Arraignment Paych. Report(s)
Deft voir dired by Crt Counsel. Submits walver of rights	S.O.R / CTS Report M. M. Placement Report
SEE DEFERRED ENTRY OF JUDGMENT ORDER. Based on Noio plea Court finds defendant guilty.	Counsel / Plea Supplemental Report Stay on Bench Warrant Judgment and Sentence
Court accepts plea and finds	Sentencing on PV Motions / Ruling on Motions
☐ intelligent waiver of rights is given ☐ ractual basis established based on ☐ stipulation	Change of Piece Placement Report
police rept pretim transcript admission	Pretrial Conference TRC Admit / Deny Prob. Viol.
☐ Case ☐ Count(s)DISMISSED.	RC RCSP RCTS TMC Revocation Proceedings Court / JURY Trial - Calling S1551 PC Proceedings
on motion of DA Court w/ Harvey walver	Prefimingry Hearing - Confirmed
☐ Referral waived. ☐ w/ Restitution Time ☐ Waived ☐ 10 / 60 ☐ Not Waived ☐ Pro Rata	Long Cause M. C. VACATE date Further Proc, re:
for Trial J&S Last day for PX	time
SEE PROBATION ORDER.	dept
Referred to Probation for report re: pre-sentence supp rpt SOR CTS placement	Formal Revocation Hearing, re:
Deft to report to Prob. today by Probation Officer to Interview defendant.	
Counsel request setting [§995 S §1538.5 Discovery	Other
Defense file motion by	Delt's appear. waived if
770710	OTHER COURT DATE:AT
MINUTE ORDER WAIVER TO PROB. ON 5/20/06	DEPT FOR FOR
Survivia Sat to and Sa Am Albert Bar	Intern Aceta MH SOR Faved

James Fitch, Esq. Page 4 January 25, 2006

RE: HUNTER, JERICE Y.

were inflicted upon her during her own childhood. In this case the situation appears to clearly be the latter.

At some juncture Ms. Hunter will need a spectrum of psychological treatment services. She will need psychotherapy to help her to come to terms with her intense hatred of her mother. She will need to acquire some anger management tools in general. She will need a series of parenting classes to help her acquire more healthy and productive methods for disciplining and for caring for her children.

Thank you for the opportunity to examine and to advise in this case, and please do not hesitate to contact me in the event there are any additional questions.

Very truly yours,

Carlton W. Purviance, Ph.D.

CWP: sim

James Fitch, Esq. Page 3 January 25, 2006

RE: HUNTER, JERICE Y.

was about 2 months away from delivering a baby. She has most recently worked for a local grocery store as a clerk and stocker, however in July 2005 she sustained a work-related injury to her knee and has been off on a Workers Compensation disability. Following her arrest on the current matter the defendant was in custody at the Fairfield jail for about two months before she bailed out on December 22, 2005. She told me she was shocked to recently learn that her husband (and co-defendant) is a registered sex offender. She told me she has no plans to ever reunite with her husband.

MENTAL STATUS EXAMINATION

The mental status exam revealed a tearful and dysphoric 32-year-old woman who was alert, lucid, well oriented, logical, and coherent in all her utterances. No signs of significant impairment were noted in her level of consciousness, attention span, concentration, capacity for abstract thought, overall memory functioning, or intelligence. There were no signs to indicate a psychotic process, thought disorder, major affective disorder, or signs suggestive of organic brain dysfunctioning. The defendant is in the midst of a situational or acute stress disorder, with disturbances of both mood and anxiety. The personal and social history was not consistent with someone with criminal and/or antisocial attitudes or personality traits. There is a deeply-imbedded rage in this person which is primarily directed at the mother.

The diagnostic findings on Axis I include Adjustment disorder with mixed anxiety and depressed mood (309.28 DSM-IV) and Cannabis dependence disorder in early full remission by defendant's report (304.30 DSM-IV).

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Jerice Yaton Hunter is a 32-year-old woman who finds herself accused of torturing her 7-year-old daughter, and of causing corporal injuries to three of her other children. At the request of defense counsel Ms. Hunter was examined at my office on January 18, 2006 in an effort to identify the relevant psychological issues, if any.

The defendant has admitted that her punitive actions toward the 7-year-old daughter were excessive and wrongful. She was/is clearly caught up in the so-called "cycle of abuse", and she was repeating with her own child what she had been repeatedly subjected to by her mother when she was a child. In part, the daughter became the focus of the anger and hatred that resides in the defendant which is directed primarily at the mother.

As I understand it, the crime of torture requires the specific intent to express cruelty, and to cause extreme pain and suffering in the victim. The mental state of the perpetrator would therefore seem to be very important. For example, whether the act was carried out in a cool and calculating manner by a person seeking a specific outcome or result, and who is not in an extreme emotional state, or whether the act was carried out by someone full of anger and rage who is repeating violent acts that

James Fitch, Esq. Page 2 January 25, 2006

RE: HUNTER, JERICE Y.

The defendant takes issue with the validity of the allegations regarding the 14-year-old boy, the 9-year-old girl, and the 3-year-old daughter. She also states that she did not, in her view, torture her 7-year-old daughter. She did admit that she was guilty "of whipping my (7-year-old) daughter too much for her stealing... I was told she was bleeding from the marks from the extension cord... That's how I was raised by my mother (Ms. Shirley Johnson) and she did the same thing to me..." The defendant wept during this disclosure, and it was clear that she understood she had behaved wrongfully just as her mother had wrongfully behaved toward her during her formative and childhood years. Ms. Hunter continued to elaborate: "I whipped my daughter like she whipped me, but I do want to say to you that I don't want my daughter to feel toward me like I feel toward my mother. I hate her guts. She's evil. She's one of those people who should never have had children... She's a good actress, too." In regards to the allegations regarding her other children, Ms. Hunter remarked: "There are a lot of lies being told by my kids, by CPS, and by my mother..."

At the present time the defendant is living by herself in Vallejo. She states her only prior contact with the criminal justice system occurred in Alameda County in 1995 when an assault charge against her was dismissed. She states she was helping to defend her sister against an attack of some sort.

The relevant personal and social history is that Ms. Hunter was born in Phoenix, Arizona 32 years ago, the first of three children born to Shirley Johnson. Her father was employed as a baggage handler at an airport and her mother is a Registered Nurse who is employed as a "floater" at various local hospitals. The mother was described as having had a serious problem with crack cocaine, PCP, and the abuse of various prescribed drugs. Around your clients 6th year her mother began to physically beat her. The authorities were aware of this violence and abuse, and the defendant's two younger sisters were removed from the home some time later and placed in foster care and in a group home. The defendant's parents separated when Ms. Hunter was about 10- or 11-years-old after the father grew weary of the mother's violence ("she kept stabbing him"). As the years went by the abuse intensified. One vivid example was recalled when the mother took a spiked-heel shoe and beat the defendant about the head with it. Her scalp was lacerated and probably required professional medical care. Instead "she patched it up herself and had me lie about how I got it". Ms. Hunter isn't certain when she was sexually molested by a maternal uncle, however she wasn't able to summon the courage to tell her volatile and abusive mother about it until she was 14-years-old. Predictably she was not believed by the mother. The most recent mother-daughter episode occurred in 2001 when the mother threw an object at the then 27-year-old defendant and hit her in the head with it. Your client left home at age 17 after an episode described this way: "She came home on drugs. She was high. She started beating me. I was pregnant (with her now 14-year-old son) and the father stopped her from hitting me in the stomach with a broom stick. When he did that she threw me out of the house."

Around the defendant's 18th year she began smoking marijuana, and over the years she developed a dependency upon this substance. She states she stopped using "the day after Christmas" because she

AMERICAN BOARD PROPESSIONAL PSYCHOLOGY DIPLOMATE IN CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGY

CONSULTATION IN FORENSIC PSYCHOLOGY
AND PSYCHODIAGNOSIS

CARLTON W. PURVIANCE, Ph.D. 1416 TENNESSEE ST., SUITE 3A VALLEJO, CALIFORNIA 94590-4647 (707) 552-6397 FAX (707) 647-1305

January 24, 2006

James Fitch, Esq., Deputy Conflict Defender Satellite Office Solano Justice Building 321 Tuolumne Street Vallejo, CA 94590

RE: HUNTER, JERICE Y.
CASE NO.: VCR180941
PSYCH EXAM: §1017 EvC
APPEARS: 1/30/06in Dept. 17

Dear Mr. Fitch:

At Carol Long's request I completed a confidential psychological evaluation of Ms. Jerice Hunter. This examination took place at my office on January 18, 2006 and consisted of a clinical interview, a review of the pertinent history, and a mental status examination. Prior to seeing the defendant I had the opportunity to review the police reports, the criminal complaint, and Ms. Long's correspondence to me of December 6, 2005 regarding this matter. Ms. Hunter understood the nature and purpose of the examination, including the confidential aspect, and she was entirely cooperative with the process.

RELEVANT HISTORY

As you know this is a 32-year-old woman who, along with her husband/co-defendant, is accused of torturing her 7-year-old daughter. She is also accused of causing corporal injury to her 14-year-old son, her 9-year-old daughter, the 7-year-old daughter, and a 3-year-old daughter. I understand that she is potentially exposed to a life term if convicted of the torture allegation. The co-defendant is not the biological father of any of the above victims. However, he is the father of your client's 11-month-old daughter.

Ms. Hunter was examined at my office on January 18, 2006. She was calm, cooperative, responsive, and accessible. She wept silently on a number of occasions, however this did not impede effective communication with her or impair accessability to her. She appeared to be candid and forthright in her remarks, and she seemed to believe what she told me represented the truth as she saw it.

Ms. Hunter is fully informed as to the nature and purpose of the pending criminal charges, and she is keenly aware of the peril existing for her if convicted. Moreover she has the capacity or ability to cooperate with you in a rational manner, and she told me she is looking forward to working with you in this matter.

28

Rule 4.421(b)(1): "The defendant engaged in violent conduct which indicates a danger to society." The conduct of the defendant certainly was a danger to her children, but not to anyone else. She was acting not out of malice, but out of misplaced training she had received in her own childhood, that could be directed only at her own children. No one else is, or was, ever at risk. Defendant now realizes the wrongfulness of her actions and is determined to see that it never happens again. This should not be considered as a factor in aggravation.

Given that the circumstances in mitigation outweigh the circumstances in aggravation, Defendant respectfully submits that the low term is the appropriate term, if a state prison commitment is made.

Concurrent vs consective

Rule 4.425(a)(1), (2), (3): While the offenses happened at different times, and over a period of time, the crimes all had the same ongoing objective - to discipline the defendant's children. Defendant sought to deter them from conduct, such as stealing, that she saw would lead to jail, if it were not stopped. The source of the defendant's overzealous application of discipline was her own upbringing, which prepared her badly for her role as a mother. Although the offenses took place over a period of time, and involved separate incidents, they are all part of a single, ongoing, period of aberrant behavior.

Defendant respectfully submits that, if a state prison commitment is made, concurrent sentencing is appropriate, rather than consecutive sentencing.

DATED: 4-30-06

Deputy Conflict Defender

JAMES FITCH

Based on the above related facts and factors, the defendant respectfully asks that the court grant her probation.

П

IF THE COURT DOES NOT GRANT PROBATION, THE LOW TERM IS APPROPRIATE

Circumstances in mitigation

Rule 4.423(a)(3), (4): The crime was committed because of an unusual circumstance, namely the defendant's own upbringing and training, that taught her the behavior at issue. While this is not a defense, Defendant is now fully aware of the wrongfulness of her actions and is committed to obtaining the necessary counseling, therapy, and treatment to be sure it never happens again.

Rule 4.423(b)(1): As even probation notes, the defendant has "an insignificant record."

Rule 4.423(b)(2): The defendant was suffering from a mental condition that significantly reduces culpability, namely the defendant's own upbringing and training, that taught her the behavior at issue. While this is not a defense, Defendant is now fully aware of the wrongfulness of her actions and is committed to obtaining the necessary counseling, therapy, and treatment to be sure it never happens again.

Rule 4.423(b)(3): The defendant acknowledged wrongdoing at an early stage of the proceedings. She admitted it to the police, and plead before a preliminary hearing took place.

Rule 4.423(b)(6): As conceded by probation, the defendant's prior performance on probation was satisfactory.

Circumstances in aggravation (per probation)

Rule 4.421(a)(2): "The defendant was armed with and used an extension cord during the commission of the crimes." This is true.

Rule 4.432(a)(3): "The victims were particularly vulnerable." This is inherent in any and every felony child abuse charge and therefore should not be considered as a factor in aggravation.

Rule 4.421(a)(4): "The defendant induced her husband to participate." This is true.

Rule 4.414(b)(7): Defendant is extremely remorseful. She is devastated by the physical and emotional trauma she now realizes she has inflicted on her children. She is determined to make sure it does not happen again. She is engaged in group and individual counseling to that end. Her primary goal is to repair the damage done, to the extent possible, and to see her family reunified.

Rule 4.414(b)(8): Contrary to what probation asserts, there is virtually no likelihood that the defendant will be a danger to anyone if not imprisoned, and especially not her children. Her children are currently wards of the court, subject to Welfare and Institutions Code §300 proceedings. They do not reside with her. Any contact she has with them is closely supervised. They will not reside with her without the approval of the family court, and except under such conditions as that court may impose. With her new awareness of the quality of her actions, the defendant is committed to making sure that there is no recurrence. She is engaged in group and individual counseling to make sure of that. She is prepared to engage in any program or therapy to that end that the court may direct.

Probation, after noting that the defendant has little criminal history, and even that is from a long time ago (1995), and after noting that the current offense is "neither more nor less serious as compared to other instances of the same crime," and after noting that her single previous performance on misdemeanor probation was satisfactory, goes on to recommend a state prison commitment. State prison will remain an option available to the court, should the defendant not perform on probation. Defendant is committed to obtaining the counseling, treatment, and therapy she needs to avoid any recurrence of the events that so affected her children, and which brought her here. Almost certainly she will not receive the sort of counseling she requires in the state prison system. Any chance the family has of mending itself, and of mending the psychological damage done, will be obliterated by a state prison commitment. Nobody will be endangered by placing the defendant on probation. Any interaction between the defendant and her children will be monitored by CPS and the family court.

Dr. Carleton Purviance). Defendant is extremely remorseful. She cannot discuss what she did without crying. She is committed to making sure that it never happens again and is engaged in parenting classes and individual counseling to that end.

Rule 4.414(b)(1): Defendant is 32 years old Her prior criminal history is minor, as probation concedes. This is her first felony conviction.

Rule 4.414(b)(2): Defendant's prior performance on probation was satisfactory. In other words, the defendant can be expected to comply with the terms of probation.

Rule 4.414(b)(3): Defendant is willing to comply with the terms of probation.

Rule 4.414(b)(4): Defendant's ability to comply with the terms of probation are best indicated by her ability to do so in the past (see Rule 4.414(b)(2), above). She has good family support, and does not abuse alcohol or drugs. She has occasionally smoked marijuana (about 4 times a year), but understands that that must end. She has no problem with that. She has job skills, and was employed until recently. She is currently on disability as the result of an injury.

Rule 4.414(b)(5): As probation notes, there would be a substantial negative impact upon the defendant if she were imprisoned. Her only previous incarceration was a 30 day sentence in 1995. She is emotionally and physically fragile. There is an ongoing Welfare and Institutions Code §300 case related to the instant situation with the reunification of the defendant and her children as its goal. That case is presently on "hold" waiting this court's decision in this case. The reunification process would be fatally disrupted by imprisonment in the state prison, preventing any possibility of reunification. Any possibility of mending the damage already done to this family would be ended. Certainly the defendant's children will not be any better off should the defendant be imprisoned. Child Protective Services and the court in the 300 case will closely monitor, supervise, and control any interaction between the defendant and her children.

Rule 4.414(b)(6): There will likely be adverse collateral consequences on the defendant's life as a result of the felony conviction, specifically employability. These can only be further complicated by imprisonment, as opposed to rehabilitation.

Vanessa Perry, SBN 124489 1 Solano County Conflict Defender James F. Fitch SBN 99662 MAY 0 1 2006 2 Deputy Conflict Defender LINDA G. ASHCRAFT 321 Tuolumne Street 3 Vallejo, CA 94590 (707) 553-5671 5 6 7 9 THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SOLANO 11 12 13 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE No. VCR180941 14 OF CALIFORNIA, **DEFENDANT'S STATEMENT IN** 15 MITIGATION Plaintiff 16 -vs-17 DATE: 5-1-2006 JERICE HUNTER. 18 TIME: 8:30 a.m. DEPT: 17 Defendant 19 TRIAL DATE: N/A 20 Defendant respectfully submits the following statement regarding her sentencing: 21 Ī 22

THE POLICIES EXPRESSED BY RULES OF COURT 4.414 SUPPORT PROBATION

Rule 4.414(a)(1): As conceded by probation, "the instant offense is neither more nor less serious as compared to other instances of the same crime."

Rule 4.414(a)(7): Defendant's dysfunctional upbringing, particularly as it involved parenting skills, is a major contributing factor to the instant situation. (see the attached report by

28

23

24

25

26

27

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SOLANO

[] 600 Union Avenue, Fairfield, California 94533
 [x] 321 Tuolumne Street, Vallejo, California 94590

CERTIFICATE AND AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

Case No.: <u>VCR180941</u>

I, the undersigned, certify under penalty of perjury that I am employed as a judicial assistant of the above-entitled court and not a party to the within-entitled action; that I served the attached ORDER FOR PLACEMENT, DELIVERY AND RETURN PURSUANT TO PENAL CODE SECTION 1203.03 by causing to be placed a true copy thereof in an envelope which was then sealed and postage fully prepaid on the date shown below: that I am readily familiar with the business practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service; that this notice will be deposited in the United States Postal Service on the date indicated. Said envelope was addressed to the attorneys for the parties, or the parties, [] as shown thereon [x] as shown below:

Terry Ray
Deputy District Attorney
675 Texas Street, Ste. 4500
Fairfield, California 94533
(Via Inter-County Mail)

Jim Fitch
Deputy Conflict Defender
321 Tuolumne Street
Vallejo, California 94590
(Via Inter-County Mail)

Sheriff's Department (2 copies)
Attn: Receiving Sgt. Rondo Sands
(Via Sheriff's Court Transport))

Dated: MAY 2 2 2006

JEANETTE DAVIS, Declarant

- 3) The Sheriff of Solano County shall deliver said defendant to the custody of the Director of Corrections at Chowchilla State Prison.
- 4) Prior to the expiration of the ninety (90) day period, the Director of Corrections shall notify the Sheriff of Solano County of defendant's availability for return to Solano County Jail, and without further order of the court, the Sheriff shall return defendant, giving notice to the Court of defendant's return.

Dated: May 19, 2006

PETER B. FOOR

Judge of the Superior Court

FILED
Clerk of the Superior Court

MAY 2 2 2006

LINDA G. ASHCRAST By (/) CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SOLANO

DEPARTMENT SEVENTEEN

* * * *

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

б

6

7

People of the State of California,

Plaintiff,

VS.

JERICE Y. HUNTER,

Defendant

Case No.: VCR180941

ORDER FOR PLACEMENT DELIVERY AND RETURN PURSUANT TO PENAL CODE SECTION 1203.03

The above-named defendant having been duly convicted of a violation of Penal Code Section 273d(a) (four counts), and the Court having concluded that a just disposition of the case requires placement in such a diagnostic facility as the Department of Corrections.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

- 1) That the above-named defendant be placed temporarily in a diagnostic facility for a period of not to exceed ninety (90) days in accordance with the provisions of Penal Code Section 1203.03.
- 2) The Director of the Department of Corrections shall report to the Court his/her diagnosis and recommendations concerning the above-named defendant within said ninety (90) days.

NAME: HUNTER, JERICE YATON	CASE NO(S): VCR180941 VF
OTHER ORDERS	JAIL COMMITMENT / CUSTODY STATUS
Pay Fine \$ Dincl. Dus penalty assessment	SERVEdays / months in jail Forthwith
Pay \$ Restitution Fine per 1202.4 PC plus	☐ Stay to at am / pm ☐ In fleu of community service
\$ (10%) Admin, fee	
Security fee per 1465.8(a)(1) PC.	guspended / all susp but
SHOW PROOF OF: Gompliance will yacate appearance date	CTS: days + 4019 PC time =Tota
☐ Fine / fees, paid in full by	□ conc □ consec with
hrs Comm Serv/Volunteer work by	
	Atternative sentencing program recommended denied
Restitution paid by	☐ Work furlough ☐ only ☐ recommended ☐ denied ☐ Work release ☐ only ☐ recommended ☐ denied
FTA Clearance inby	☐ E.M.R. ☐ only ☐ recommended ☐ denied
Re-enrolt DUI class/8B38/	☐ Report to ☐ PROFESSIONAL MONITORING ☐ SDF
w/in days	within 3 working days
Complete by Thru 🗋 Prob 🔲 Court	BOOK & RELEASE by (8 am - 4 pm. Monday thru Friday)
Restricted license granted:	Pay jall fees of \$ per day of custody.
to, from, during employment, DUI school / program, jall	I I I Sii fooc waiyed
☐ PROB. VIOLATION PROC. ☐ PROGRESS REPT.	Serve 1 day for each \$ of fine unpaid
THOUSE THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO	
Deft 📋 admits 🔲 deniés, probation violation, based on:	#EMANDED until next appearance - NO CITE RELEASE.
new violation(a) in Case #, or	☐ As previously set ☐ 1275 PC APPLIES
	Bail set at \$ No Ball Cash only
	Reduced/increased to \$Prev. bond applies
Counsel voir dires defendant submits waiver of rights.	☐ Until picked up byauthorities.
Court accepts admission after knowing, intelligent waiver of	Allowed phone calls at County expense
rights, finding admission to be free & voluntary with adequate factual basis.	☐ limited to min. ea. ☐ during normal business hrs ☐ Allowed ☐ visit(s) ☐ contact visit(s) with:
Peo's witness(es):	Allowed Visit(s) contact visit(s) with:
	☐ at the Sheriff's discretion
Del's witness(es):	contact visit shall not delay defendant's transport to CDC.
	Release to P.O. for program - screening - placement
Court finds deft. In violation	☐ Refer for ☐ SOR ☐ CTS ☐ report.
dismisses the violation. No action taken.	APLEACED / CONTINUED
revokes prodation, based on:	RELEASED / CONTINUED
	OR SOR BAIL DEJ PROBATION
☐ Referred for ☐ Supplemental ☐ Progress	☐ in custody other case(s) ☐ INTP ☐ INTP PENDING ☐ RELEASE PENDING OTHER HOLDS
report.	Rejease conditions: Obey all laws
☐ Report to Probation ☐ today ☐ by	☐ Totally abstain from the use of ☐ alcoho! ☐ illicit drugs.
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Submit to alcohol drug testingX's per w
☐ Probation is: ☐ continued ☐ \$8me terms/conditions ☐ full force & effect.	Search & setzure. Do not enter any public place where the primary item sold
	or dispensed is an intoxicating liquor.
☐ reinstated - SEE PROBATION ORDER FOR	Attend AA or NA migs per wk / mo inday
MODIFICATION(S).	Bring proof to court. Do not drive without a valid driver's ficense.
☐ terminated as ☐ successful ☐ unsucceasful	Make & keep appointment with attorney / Probation.
	□ Do not possess any weapons or ammunition. □ No contact □ No uninvited contact with □ Stay away from
☐ Pet. granted per §1203;4 PC ☐ reduced to misd. per §17 PC	My contact No diministran contact with Oray away from
Pet, granted per 1210.1(d) PC. Case diamiseed.	
Any unfulfilled terms in ()	Do not heress, annoy, threaten CLETS order algned, filed, faxed. Served on defendant.
transferred to Case #	Other:
THE SHERIFF: I CERTIFY THE FOREGOING TO BE A TRUE CO	JPY OF THE JUDGMENT RENDERED ON THIS DATE BY THE
IOVE-NAMED JUDGE.	BY LOTH LOCK
LINDA G. ASHCRAFT, CLERK / CEO	
misse to obey all reasonable conditions imposed by the court or magistrate: 3) defens vs extraction it the datancient falls to appear as required and is apprehended outside isomeoples and negative applicable to violation of the conditions of magazine.	y count in which, or any magistrate before whom, the charge is pending: 2) detendant dant promises not to depart this state without leave of the count; 4) detendant agrees to the State of California, and; 5) defendant acknowledges (s)he has been informed of the
Defendant understands that if charged with a misdemeanor or an infraction a planed by not more than six (5) morths in county isli, a one thousand dollar (\$1,000) find	and released and willfully falle to eppear, (e) he is guilty of a misdemeanor and may be e, or both. alle to appear, (s) he is guilty of a falony and may be punished by not more than three (3)
Defendant undersignes that it charged with a takeny and released and willow's t ars in state prison, or one (1) year in county juil and a fine not to exceed ten thousend do	cliere (\$10,000) or both.
EFENDANT	
DDRESS	Witness Date
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	- ·
DB: PHONE NO	Interpreter Date

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA VS	CASE NO (6): VCR180941 VF
HUNTER JERICE YATON	DATE: 1-30-06
Defendant P present not present	Frae
PEOPLE: Lessy Ray Deputy D.A.	JUDGE/COMM:
DEFENSE: Private P.D. TC.D.	REPORTER: Hodge S
INTERPRETER	CLERK: B:MS JULL
Spanish	
Court(s) / Charges(s): 273d(a) -PC,273d(a) -PC,273d DOB: 10/13/1973 Dr. License #:	(a) -PC, 273d (a) -PC Deft i.O. #: #394833 Deft Status: CJ Arrest Agency: VJPD
Booking #: 05-14570 D.A.#: V053478	Citation #: Violation Date: 04/20/200
THIS CASE IS ASSIGNED TO JUDGE	DEPTFOR ALL PURPOSES
ARR / FUR. ARR COUNSEL/PLEA POSS DISM ADMIT/DENY REV PROC PROC PROGRET	BENCH WARRANT / BAIL ORDERS
DPT_RC_RCSP_RCTS_TRC_	B / W Ordered - Ball \$ Days One ReLEASE Gash Only No Ball Stayed To Issue Remain out
X Tribung	OR / SOR Revoked DEJ Revoked Probation Revoked
☐ Advised pursuant to §987.8 PC. ☐ Financial statement filed. Deft ☐ eligible ☐ ineligible, for court-appointed counsel.	☐ Vacated ☐ Recalled from ☐ S.O. ☐
P.D. registration fee ordered walved.	Ball Forfelted - Bond #
declares conflict; relieved as counsel.	Notice of bail forfeiture stayed to
☐ Court appoints	Reinstated Exonerated after 15 days if no Comp. filed.
☐ Deft waives counsel. ☐ §977(b) PC waiver filed. ☐ Waives arraignment ☐ Waives advice of rights	☐ Reassumption of liability filed. Time ☐ Walved ☐ Pro Rate ☐ Not Waived
☐ Arraigned ☐ Advised of rights ☐ Deft's true name ☐ above stated ☐	for Trial J&S
☐ Handed ☐ atty ☐ deft ☐ Complaint ☐ Information	Jury Trial Demanded Est. time for trial days
☐ Discov. ☐ Police rept ☐ Transcript ☐ INTP ☐ PENDING.☐ Court grants DA's Motion to Amend to ☐ Add ☐ Reduce	☐ Waived ☐ Confirmed ☐ Vacated
	Set or TRLC (or see below) at Dept
Crit(s) Section(s) Section(s) Delt pleads: Delt withdrawe previous plea(s) & pleads Not Guilty Not Guilty by Reason of Insenity	Last date for trial NG Plee date
Guilty Noio Contenders to all count(s) count(s)	SEE PAGE 3 RE:
section(s) suspended	See Certification
Deft ordered to complete D.A. Diversion.	
☐ Deft placed on Informal Diversion for 6 months re diamissal. Pursuant to ☐ Peo vs West ☐ §17 PC ☐ §1192.5 PC	
Reserve right to file demurrer.	
	On Motion of Court DA Defense Probation,
	CONT. TOAT
☐ Admit ☐ DenyPrior(s)	DEPT, FOR: (See below)
Admit Deny Enhancement(s)	Further Arraignment Psych. Report(s)
Deft voir dired by Crt Counsel. Submits waiver of rights	S.O.R / CTS Report M. H. Placement Report
SEE DEFERRED ENTRY OF JUDGMENT ORDER.	Stey on Bench Warrant Judgment and Sentence
☐ Based on Note plea Court finds defendant guilty. ☐ Court accepts plea and finds ☐ plea is free & voluntary	Setting: Sentencing on PV Sentencing on PV
intelligent walver of rights is given stagtual basis established based on stipulation	Change of Plea Placement Report
police rept prelim transcript admission	Pretrial Conference TRC Admit / Deny Prob. Viol.
☐ Case ☐ Count(s)DISMISSED.	☐ RC ☐ RCSP ☐ RCTS ☐ TMC ☐ Revocation Proceedings ☐ Count / JURY Trial - ☐ Calling ☐ §1551 PC Proceedings
on motion of L) DA L Court L W/ Harvey waiver	Preliminary Hearing - Confirmed Long Cause M. C. VACATE
☐ Referral walved. ☐ W/ Restitution Time ☐ Walved ☐ 10 / 60 ☐ Not Walved ☐ Pro Rata	date Further Proc, re:
for ☐ Triat ☐ J&S ☐ Last day for PX	time
SEE PROBATION ORDER. Referred to Probation for report re: pre-sentence supp rpt	dent
SOR CTS placement	Formal Revocation Hearing, re:
☐ Deft to report to Prob. ☐ today ☐ by ☐ Probation Officer to Interview defendant.	Other
Counsel request setting 🔲 §995 🔲 §1538.5 🗍 Discovery	Other Deft's appear. waived if
Defense file motion by	
DA respond by; Def. answer by	OTHER COURT DATE:AT
MINUTE ORDER WAIVER TO PROB. ON	DEPT, FOR

those contained in the police report (#).	
Statipulated. West Affire plan in counts 2+3	11.
12. ADDITIONAL MATTERS: (e.g., Harvey waiver, 11590 H&S, restitution, 290 PC registration, 1203.4 PC fine)	NA
13. I declare that my attorney has read and explained this document to me, and I hereby freely and voluntarily, having full knowledge and understanding of the rights that I am giving up and the possible consequences which may result from my plea, do hereby request the Court to accept my new and different plea(s).	13.
Dated: × 1/30/66 Defendant	
ATTORNEY'S STATEMENT , do hereby declare that:	
I am the attorney for the defendant in this action: I have read and explained the foregoing document to my client and I have adequately researched the defendant as to the immigration consequences of this plea; after I read and explained said document, (s)he signed his/her name thereto in my presence; based upon my conversation with the defendant, I am satisfied that his/her plea of guilty is freely voluntarily made; (s)he understands the consequences of his/her plea of guilty; his/her decision to plead guilty was made only after a full discussion with me of the facts and the this case; I join in the waiver of jury trial.	y and
I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.	
Dated:	
INTERPRETER'S STATEMENT (if applicable)	
I,, truly translated this waiver of rights form to the de	efendant, through
his/her attorney, in the language. I then asked the defendant, through if the defendant understood what the form said, and if (s)he did understand, to sign the form If , and only intended to plead guilty or no contest to the charge(s).	
Dated: Interpreter	



SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SOLANO

Linda G. Ashcraft
Court Executive Officer
Clerk of the Court

October 11, 2006

FIRST DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL 350 MC ALLISTER STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

RE:

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA VS.

JERICE YATON HUNTER

SOLANO CASE NO VCR180941

Dear Sir/Madam:

Enclosed please find the clerk's and reporter's transcripts on Appeal relative to the above matter. Copies have been forwarded to the Attorney General's office and the First District Appellate Project.

If we can be of further assistance, please do not hesitate to call upon us.

Thank you, Very truly yours,

D. SIMAS

DEANNA SIMAS
Deputy Clerk-Appeals Division

enc cc/ag;fdap

6.	the	en though I will be convicted in this case as a result of my plea, I have the right to appeal by judgment and rulings of the court. ive up my right of appeal.
7.	Th	e maximum punishment which the court may impose based upon this plea is (4) 403 50
8.	oth	m of sound mind and am not now under the influence of alcohol, narcotics, drugs, or any ner substance that would impair my judgment, and I understand the nature of these occedings.
9.		urther understand: A conviction for this offense may increase the punishment I receive for any future convictions.
	b)	If I am sentenced to state prison, I would be subject to parole supervision for a period of three years*, and if I violated that parole, I could be returned to state prison for up to four years. *Penal Code Section 3000(b) (Life Sentence Exception)
	c)	If I am not a citizen, a conviction of this offense to which I am now entering a plea may result in my deportation from this country, exclusion from admission to the United States, and/or a denial of naturalization pursuant to the laws of the United States. I have discussed this with my attorney and I know whether or not conviction for this offense requires mandatory deportation and exclusion.
	d)	No threats have been made against me or any member of my family or close friends in order to induce me to make this plea.
	e)	If I am on parole or probation for another offense, by entering this plea I could be found in violation of that parole or probation.
	f)	Whether or not I will get probation is to be determined solely by the Court. I understand the sentence I receive is solely within the discretion of the Court.
	g)	A plea of no contest (noto contendere) will have the same legal effect as a plea of guilty. If I plead no contest the Court will find me guilty based on my no contest plea.
	h)	In addition to other penalties that may be ordered by the Court, I will be subjected to fines that may vary in amount from \$10.00 to \$20,000.00.
	i)	If I am pleading guilty or no contest to a violation of Vehicle Code Section 23152 or 23153, I am hereby advised pursuant to 23593 VC that being under the influence of alcohol or drugs, or both, impairs my ability to safely operate a motor vehicle. Therefore, it is extremely dangerous to human life to drive while under the influence of alcohol or drugs, or both. If I continue to drive while under the influence of alcohol or drugs, or both, and as a result of that driving, someone is killed, I can be charged with murder.
10.	Oi ind 1.	her than the promises listed below, no promises have been made to me or my family to duce me to enter this plea. I have been promised:
	2.	white well secondly consider probability
	3.	
		INDERSTAND THE ABOVE PROMISES ARE NOT BINDING IF I FAIL TO APPEAR AT ANY
	61	IRREQUENT HEARING COMMIT ANY CRIME PRIOR TO MY JUDGMENT AND SENTENCING
	Y	OLATE ANY TERMS OF MY RELEASE, OR IF PLACED ON PROBATION, VIOLATE ANY
	<u> </u>	ROBATION TERM.

	Richman, J.
We concur:	
Kline, P. J.	
Haerle, J.	

A115075, People v. Hunter

The People neglect to mention, however, that sentencing also occurred after the California Supreme Court issued its decision in People v. Black (2005) 35 Cal.4th 1238 (Black I), which held in part that "a jury trial is not required on the aggravating factors that justify imposition of consecutive sentences." (Id. at p. 1262.) As Black I was the state of the law at the time defendant was sentenced, the trial court was bound to follow it. (Auto Equity Sales, Inc. v. Superior Court (1962) 57 Cal.2d 450, 455.) Thus, a Blakely objection at the time of her sentencing would have been futile. (See People v. Sandoval (2007) 41 Cal.4th 825, 837, fn. 4 [finding no forfeiture for failure to object because "[h]ad defendant requested a jury trial on aggravating circumstances, that request clearly would have been futile, because the trial court would have been required to follow our decision in Black I and deny the request"].)

We thus turn to the merits of defendant's claim.

B. Defendant Was Not Entitled to Jury Findings on the Facts Supporting the Imposition of Consecutive Sentences

Black I, supra, 35 Cal.4th 1238 presented a challenge to California's determinate sentencing law (DSL). (Id. at p. 1244.) Specifically, the California Supreme Court considered "whether a defendant is constitutionally entitled to a jury trial on the aggravating factors that justify an upper term sentence or a consecutive sentence." (Ibid.) The court answered this question in the negative: "[T]he judicial factfinding that occurs when a judge exercises discretion to impose an upper term sentence or consecutive terms under California law does not implicate a defendant's Sixth Amendment right to a jury trial." (Ibid.)

Recently, in Cunningham, supra, 549 U.S. ___ [127 S.Ct. 856], the United States Supreme Court, applying the principles established in Blakely, supra, 542 U.S. 296, and Apprendi, supra, 530 U.S. 466, held that the DSL violates a defendant's right to a jury trial. "[U]nder the Sixth Amendment, any fact that exposes a defendant to a greater potential sentence must be found by a jury, not a judge, and established beyond a reasonable doubt, not merely by a preponderance of the evidence." (Cunningham, at pp. 863-864.)

The officers also spoke with the three year old, who showed them a fresh, twoinch long wound on her leg. Although she was unable to articulate what caused the injury, she nodded her head "yes" when her aunt asked if defendant had whipped her.

The officers also learned that four days earlier, the seven year old got in trouble and was taken upstairs where defendant attempted to whip her with an extension cord. Because defendant was having difficulty restraining the child while simultaneously whipping her, defendant called for help from Shockley, who then held the child down while defendant whipped her. The seven year old told police that she was naked at the time and was held face up while being whipped on the front of her body. She reported that defendant had been whipping her "for a lot of years," a fact confirmed by her nine-year-old sister, who said that defendant and Shockley whipped her younger sister five to six times a day on the average of two to three times a week. All of the children confirmed that this type of abuse had been occurring for several years.

Upon learning of the abuse, the children's two aunts and grandmother confronted defendant, only to be told, "[T]hose are my mother fucking kids and I'll do whatever I want."

Shockley admitted to the police that he helped defendant whip the seven year old on October 15. He stated that defendant struck the child so many times—at least eight or nine times—that he felt it was excessive and told her to stop. The child later asked him, "[W]hy didn't you save me?"

Defendant and Shockley were charged with one count of torturing the seven-year-old child (count 1, Pen. Code, § 206)² and four counts of causing corporal injuries to all four children (counts 2 through 5, § 273d, subd. (a)). As to Shockley only, count 6 alleged that he failed to register as a convicted sex offender in violation of section 290, subdivision (g)(3). The complaint also alleged that Shockley had multiple prior convictions within the meaning of section 667.5, subdivision (b).

² All further statutory references are to the Penal Code.

Filed 1/24/08

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION TWO

FILED
COURT OF APPEAL FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT

JAN 24 2008

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

JERICE Y. HUNTER,

Defendant and Appellant.

A115075

(Solano County Super. Ct. No. VCR180941)

By this appeal, defendant Jerice Hunter asks us to determine that she had a constitutional right to a jury finding on the factors supporting the imposition of consecutive sentences on four separate counts of causing corporal injuries to her children. Her argument derives from the United States Supreme Court's opinions in Apprendi v. New Jersey (2000) 530 U.S. 466 (Apprendi), Blakely v. Washington (2004) 542 U.S. 296 (Blakely), and, most recently, Cunningham v. California (2007) 549 U.S. ___ [127 S.Ct. 856] (Cunningham), which generally recognize a defendant's right to a jury finding on facts relied upon by the judge, other than the fact of a prior conviction, to impose a sentence beyond the statutory maximum. According to defendant, we should construe these cases to apply equally to the imposition of consecutive sentences.

Unfortunately for defendant, our Supreme Court recently—and yet again—rejected this precise argument in *People v. Black* (2007) 41 Cal.4th 799 (*Black II*). There, in an opinion filed just days after the parties completed briefing in this case, the Supreme Court reiterated that a defendant does not have a Sixth Amendment right to a

COURT OF APPEAL, FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT 350 MCALLISTER STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 DIVISION 2

Office of the County Clerk Solano County Superior Court - Main 600 Union Avenue, Caller Box 5000 Fairfield, CA 94533 Clerk of the Superior Court

By DEBUTY CLERK

THE PEOPLE,
Plaintiff and Respondent,
v.
JERICE YATON HUNTER,
Defendant and Appellant.

•

A115075 Solano County No. VCR180941

* * REMITTITUR * *

t, Diana Herbert, Clerk of the Court of Appeal of the S District, do hereby certify that the attached is a true and correc entered in the above-entitled cause on January 24, 2008 and th	t copy of the original opinion or decision
Appellant Respondent to recover costs Each party to bear own costs Costs are not awarded in this proceeding See decision for costs determination	
Witness my hand and the Seal of the Court affixed at r	my office this MAR 2.5 2008
	Very truly yours, Diana Herbert Clerk of the Court Suntain IMPLICA SANTOS Deputy Clerk
	P.O. Report: Marsden Transcript: Boxed Transcripts: Exhibits: None of the above:

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CAUFORNIA VS. DEFENDANT: JERICE YATON HUNTER						
VCR180941 -A	-В			-c		-D
9. FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS (including any applicable penalty	assessments):		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
a. Restitution Fine(s):						
Case A: \$4000.0 Case B: \$ per PC 1202.4(b) forthwith per PC 208 Case C: \$ per PC 1202.4(b) forthwith per PC 208 Case D: \$ per PC 1202.4(b) forthwith per PC 208	5.5; \$ 5.5; \$	per F	PC 1202.45 susp PC 1202.45 susp	ended unles ended unles	s parole is revoked. s parole is revoked, s parole is revoked. s parole is revoked.	
b. Restitution per PC 1202.4(f): Case A: S	1 violimie V	- Opplitudion	Frank			
Case B: \$ Amount to be determined to Case C: \$ Amount to be determined to Case C: \$	victim(s)* victim(s)*	Restitution Restitution Restitution Restitution	Fund Fund			
(*List victim name(s) if known and amount breact. Fine(s):	kdown in item	11, below.)				
Case B: \$ per PC 1202.5. \$ per VC 235 Case C: \$ per PC 1202.5. \$ per VC 235	550 or 550 or 550 or	days [county jail	prison in li prison in li	eu of fine CC eu of fine CC eu of fine CC eu of fine CC	CS CS CS
d. <u>Lab Fee and Drug Program Fee</u> :		_				
Case A: Lab Fee: \$ per HS 11372.5(a) for counts Case B: Lab Fee: \$ per HS 11372.5(a) for counts Case C: Lab Fee: \$ per HS 11372.5(a) for counts per HS 11372.5(a) for counts per HS 11372.5(a) for counts	-	Drug P	rogram Fee of \$1 rogram Fee of \$1 rogram Fee of \$1 rogram Fee of \$1	150 per HS 1 150 per HS 1	1372.7(a). 1372.7(a).	
10. TESTING		_	_			
 a. AID\$ pursuant to PC 1202.1 b. DNA pur Other orders (specify): 	suant to PC 29	6 c. [other (specify)	:		
12. EXECUTION OF SENTENCE IMPOSED	13. CR	EDIT FOR TIN	IE SERV <u>ED</u>			
a. at initial sentencing hearing.	CASE	TOTAL CREDITS	ACTUAL	LOCAL	CONDUCT	
 b. at resentencing per décision on appeal. c. after revocation of probation. 	А	243	163	80		
d. at resentencing per recall of commitment: (PC 1170(d).)	В				4019 2933.1	
e. dther (specify):	С	rear and a second		/	4019 2933.1	
	D				4019	
		entence Pronour		rved in State I		
		08-22-06	HMD	393 1 (CRC	
14. The defendant is remanded to the custody of the sheriff \(\sum \) for \(\text{To be delivered to} \) the reception center designated to \(\text{To other (specify):} \)	thwith [after 48 hou of the California	rs excluding Sat Department of (urdays, Sun Corrections.	days, and holidays.	
I hereby certify the foregoing to be a correct abstract of the judgment	ent made in this	action.		/		
JAMI DEAN		DATE		22-06		
CR-290 (Rev. January 1, 2003) ABSTRACT OF JUDGM	ENT - PRISC	N COMMITM	MENT – DETER	MINATE T	Service of the servic	10 2°

ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT - PRISON COMMITMENT - DETERMINATE

				NOT VALID WITE	HOUT COL	MPLET	ED PAGE TWO	0	F CF	₹-29	10 ATT	ACHE	[D]					CR-	290
SUPE	RIOR CO	URT OF CALIFORNIA,	COUNTY OF	SOLAÑO										F	=	L	E	: [)
		E STATE OF CALIFOR			ров: 10-	11-73		•	VC	R	18094	1	-A	CI	erk of	the Su	peri	or Co	urt
AKA:		,,,,,											-в		ΔΗ	G 2 5	2 2	006	
	10956	3897			п.	OT DDE	recent.							سر					
ВООК		TO DEATE ADMONI	***************************************	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		IOT PRE							-c		INDA	G. A	3HY	CRA	FT
		TO STATE PRISON JUDGMENT				MENDE!							-D E	3½ <u></u>	7	EPUTY	CIE	RK	
DATE	OF HEAF		22-06	DEPT, NO.		17		יסטונ	3E			PETER	B. FO	OR	1				
CLERM		I DEAN		REPORTER .BI I H	ODGES			PRO	BATI	ON	NO. OR	PROBA	TION OF		IOT PR	ESENT			
COUN		PEOPLE	ERRY RAY		<u> </u>			cou	NSEI	FC	R DEFE	NDANT		,	JIM F			Ø	APPTD.
1. D	efenda	int was convicted	of the com	mission of the following	g f e lonies:										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
		tional counts are I (number of pages						CC	DIVIC PV	TED	i	CONCURRENT	CONSECUTIVE 1/3 VIOLENT	CONSECUTIVE 1/3 NON-WOLENT	CONSECUTIVE FULL TERM	INCOMPLETE SENTENCE per brees	STAY	CONS	CIPAL OR EÇUTIVE IMPOSED
CNT.	CODE	SECTION NO.		CRIME		AR CRIME	DATE OF CONVICTION	ARK	COURTS	MEA	TERM (P. M. U)	100 000	13 VE	SESSE SE	8 3 3	ACCH SEPTE	654		1
2A	PC	273d(a)	CORPO	RAL INJURY TO CHILD		005	(MOJDATEYEAR) 01-30-06	-	J	X	м		-		· -	<u> </u>		YRŞ.	MOS.
3A	PC	273d(a)		RAL INJURY TO CHILD		005	01-30-06		-	X	M			X				1	4
4A	PC	273d(a)	CORPO	RAL INJURY TO CHILD) 20	05	01-30-06			X	М			Х				1	4
5A	PC	273d(a)	CORPO	RAL INJURY TO CHILD) 20	05	01-30-06			X	M			X				1	4
		:																	
																			<u> </u>
2. Ei	NHAN(CEMENTS charge	ed and four Enter time	nd to be true TIED TO : e imposed for each or '	SPECIFIC	COUNTY	NTS (mainly in	the	PC Y ST) 12 [RI	2022 s CKEN	eries) ENH	List e	ach co /ENT(ount S).				
ÇNT.		ENHANCEMENT	Y/S	ENHANCEMENT		Y/S	ENHANCE	MEN	Г		Y/5	T	ENH	ANCEMEN	vī	Y/S		TOT	TAL
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,											1	, , <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>				7		
							,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,												
																			<u> </u>
											_	4						<u></u>	
		· ·				L								~~~					<u> </u>
3. El Li	VMAN(at all e	CEMENTS charge nhancements hori	id and four izontally. E	nd to be true FOR PRIC Enter time imposed for	OR CONV each or "S	ICTION S" for si	NS OR PRISO bayed, DO NO	N T	ER.	MS Al	(main	ly in ti RIÇKE	ne PC (EN ENH	667 se HANCE	ries). EMEN	†(S).			
	ENLA	NCEMENT	Y/S	ENHANCEMENT	Т,	YIS	ENHANCEN	JENT			V/S		ENH/	ANCEMEN	រា	Y/S		TOT	Γ A L
	5,00	TOLINGITY	+ -							-		\top							
			1																
	-																_		<u> </u>
																			<u> </u>
 ↓. □	Defen	dant was sentence	ed pursuan	it to PC 667 (b)-(i) or P	C 1170.12	2 (two-:	strikes).												
		ETED SENTENC						AL 1	ГІМІ	ĒČ	N AT	rachi	ED PA	SES.					
		COUNTY		CASE NUMB	BÉR .	7	7. 🔲 Ad	ditio	onal	inc	ieterm	inate	term (s	ee CR	-292).				
						1										₹M: 8	, 1 ,4	0	
<u> </u>										_							docu		
This for	m is pre	scribed under PC 13	213,5 to sati:	sty the requirements of PC	; 1213 for d	etermin	ate sentences.	Atte	спп	ent	s may b	, е usec	מונו זמנו ו	⇒1 UC 16	naneu (e ar ang	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Page	1 of 2

ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT - PRISON COMMITMENT - DETERMINATE [NOT VALID WITHOUT COMPLETED PAGE TWO OF CR-290 ATTACHED]

Penal Code. §§ 1213, 1213,5

ATTORNEY OR:PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, state ber number, and address):	
	FOR COURT USE ONLY FILED
James Fitch, 99662 Solano Çounty Conflict Defender	SOLANO SUPERÍOS COURT
321 Tulgumne Street	
Vallejo, CA 94590	06 AUG 23 AM 9: 24
TELEPHONE NO: 707-553-5671 FAX NO: 707-553-5073	LINDA COMPUEDART
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Jerice Hunter	LINDA GONORAFT
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF	DEPUTY CLERK
PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
vs. FENDANT: Jerice Hunter	(/
ate of birth: 10-13-73 California Dept. of Corrections No. (if applicable):	
ale of birin: 17 13 73 Cambrida Dept. of Confections No. (it appricable).	
NOTICE OF APPEAL-FELONY (DEFENDANT) (Pen. Code, §§ 1237,1538.5(m); Cal. Rules of Court, rule 30(b))	CASE NUMBER(S): VCR180941
NOTICE	- West of the second of the se
If your appeal challenges the validity of the plea you must complete the Request	for Certificate of Probable Cause on the
other side of this form. (Pen. Code, § 1237.5.)	
You must file this form in the superior court within 60 days after entry of judgmen	nt.
The state of the s	
Defendant (name): Jerice Hunter	8 22 2006
appeals from the order or judgment entered on (specify date of order, judgment, or s	sentence): 10-22-2006
This special full-con-	
This appeal follows:	
This appeal follows: a A-jury or court trial. (Pen. Code, § 1237(a).)	
a. A jury or court trial. (Pen. Code, § 1237(a).) b. A contested violation of probation. (Pen. Code, § 1237(b).)	
a. A jury or court trial. (Pen. Code, § 1237(a).)	oxes that apply):
a. A jury or court trial. (Pen. Code, § 1237(a).) b. Acontested violation of probation. (Pen. Code, § 1237(b).)	
 a. A jury or court trial. (Pen. Code, § 1237(a).) b. A contested violation of probation. (Pen. Code, § 1237(b).) c. A guilty (or no-contest) plea or an admitted probation violation (check all both) (1) This appeal is based on the sentence or other matters occurring after 	er the plea. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 30(b)(4)(6)
 a. A jury or court trial. (Pen. Code, § 1237(a).) b. A contested violation of probation. (Pen. Code, § 1237(b).) c. A guilty (or no-contest) plea or an admitted probation violation (check all both) 	er the plea. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 30(b)(4)(6)
 a. A jury or court trial. (Pen. Code, § 1237(a).) b. A contested violation of probation. (Pen. Code, § 1237(b).) c. A guilty (or no-contest) plea or an admitted probation violation (check all both) (1) This appeal is based on the sentence or other matters occurring after (2) This appeal is based on the denial of a motion to suppress evidence (3) This appeal challenges the validity of the plea or admission. (You metallicity) 	er the plea. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 30(b)(4)(B) a under Penal Code section 1538.5,
 a. A jury or court trial. (Pen. Code, § 1237(a).) b. A contested violation of probation. (Pen. Code, § 1237(b).) c. A guilty (or no-contest) plea or an admitted probation violation (check all both) (1) This appeal is based on the sentence or other matters occurring after (2) (2) This appeal is based on the denial of a motion to suppress evidence (3) (3) This appeal challenges the validity of the plea or admission. (You make the probable Cause on the other side of this form.) 	er the plea. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 30(b)(4)(B) a under Penal Code section 1538.5,
 a. A jury or court trial. (Pen. Code, § 1237(a).) b. A contested violation of probation. (Pen. Code, § 1237(b).) c. A guilty (or no-contest) plea or an admitted probation violation (check all both (1)) (1) This appeal is based on the sentence or other matters occurring after (2) (2) This appeal is based on the denial of a motion to suppress evidence (3) (3) This appeal challenges the validity of the plea or admission. (You make the probable Cause on the other side of this form.) 	er the plea. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 30(b)(4)(B) a under Penal Code section 1538.5,
 a. A jury or court trial. (Pen. Code, § 1237(a).) b. A contested violation of probation. (Pen. Code, § 1237(b).) c. A guilty (or no-contest) plea or an admitted probation violation (check all both) (1) This appeal is based on the sentence or other matters occurring after (2) (2) This appeal is based on the denial of a motion to suppress evidence (3) (3) This appeal challenges the validity of the plea or admission. (You make the probable Cause on the other side of this form.) 	er the plea. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 30(b)(4)(B) a under Penal Code section 1538.5,
 a. A jury or court trial. (Pen. Code, § 1237(a).) b. A contested violation of probation. (Pen. Code, § 1237(b).) c. A guilty (or no-contest) plea or an admitted probation violation (check all both) (1) This appeal is based on the sentence or other matters occurring after (2) (2) This appeal is based on the denial of a motion to suppress evidence (3) This appeal challenges the validity of the plea or admission. (You make Probable Cause on the other side of this form.) 	er the plea. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 30(b)(4)(B) a under Penal Code section 1538.5,
 b. Acontested violation of probation. (Pen. Code, § 1237(b).) c. Aguilty (or no-contest) plea or an admitted probation violation (check all both (1)). This appeal is based on the sentence or other matters occurring after (2). This appeal is based on the denial of a motion to suppress evidence (3). This appeal challenges the validity of the plea or admission. (You make the Probable Cause on the other side of this form.) 	er the plea. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 30(b)(4)(B) a under Penal Code section 1538.5,
 a. A jury or court trial. (Pen. Code, § 1237(a).) b. A contested violation of probation. (Pen. Code, § 1237(b).) c. A guilty (or no-contest) plea or an admitted probation violation (check all both) (1) This appeal is based on the sentence or other matters occurring after (2) (2) This appeal is based on the denial of a motion to suppress evidence (3) This appeal challenges the validity of the plea or admission. (You make Probable Cause on the other side of this form.) 	er the plea. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 30(b)(4)(B) under Penal Code section 1538.5, nust complete the Request for Certificate of
 a. A jury or court trial. (Pen. Code, § 1237(a).) b. A contested violation of probation. (Pen. Code, § 1237(b).) c. A guilty (or no-contest) plea or an admitted probation violation (check all both of the sentence or other matters occurring after the court appeal is based on the sentence or other matters occurring after the court appeal is based on the denial of a motion to suppress evidence (3). This appeal challenges the validity of the plea or admission. (You make the court appeal to the other side of this form.) d. Other (specify): 	er the plea. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 30(b)(4)(B) under Penal Code section 1538.5, nust complete the Request for Certificate of
 a. Ajury or court trial. (Pen. Code, § 1237(a).) b. Acontested violation of probation. (Pen. Code, § 1237(b).) c. Aguilty (or no-contest) plea or an admitted probation violation (check all both of the sentence or other matters occurring after (2). This appeal is based on the denial of a motion to suppress evidence (3). This appeal challenges the validity of the plea or admission. (You make the probable Cause on the other side of this form.) d. Other (specify): I request that the court appoint an attorney on appeal. Defendant was represented by an appointed attorney in the superior court. Defendant's address: same as in attorney box above. 	er the plea. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 30(b)(4)(B) under Penal Code section 1538.5, nust complete the Request for Certificate of
a. A jury or court trial. (Pen. Code, § 1237(a).) b. Acontested violation of probation. (Pen. Code, § 1237(b).) c. Aguilty (or no-contest) plea or an admitted probation violation (check all both of the court appeal is based on the sentence or other matters occurring after (2). This appeal is based on the denial of a motion to suppress evidence (3). This appeal challenges the validity of the plea or admission. (You make the court appeal of the other side of this form.) d. Other (specify): I request that the court appoint an attorney on appeal. Defendant was represented by an appointed attorney in the superior court. Defendant's address: same as in attorney box above. Solano County Jail	er the plea. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 30(b)(4)(B) under Penal Code section 1538.5, nust complete the Request for Certificate of
a. A jury or court trial. (Pen. Code, § 1237(a).) b. Acontested violation of probation. (Pen. Code, § 1237(b).) c. Aguilty (or no-contest) plea or an admitted probation violation (check all both of the sentence or other matters occurring after (2). This appeal is based on the denial of a motion to suppress evidence (3). This appeal is based on the denial of a motion to suppress evidence (3). This appeal challenges the validity of the plea or admission. (You make the Probable Cause on the other side of this form.) d. Other (specify): I request that the court appoint an attorney on appeal. Defendant was represented by an appointed attorney in the superior court. Defendant's address: same as in attorney box above. as follows: Solano County Jail 500 Union Avenue	er the plea. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 30(b)(4)(B) under Penal Code section 1538.5, nust complete the Request for Certificate of
a. Ajury or court trial. (Pen. Code, § 1237(a).) b. Acontested violation of probation. (Pen. Code, § 1237(b).) c. Aguilty (or no-contest) plea or an admitted probation violation (check all both (1)) (1) This appeal is based on the sentence or other matters occurring after (2) This appeal is based on the denial of a motion to suppress evidence (3) This appeal challenges the validity of the plea or admission. (You mean Probable Cause on the other side of this form.) d. Other (specify): I request that the court appoint an attorney on appeal. Defendant represented by an appointed attorney in the superior court. Defendant's address: same as in attorney box above. It is a same as in attorney box above. It is a same as in attorney box above. It is a same as in attorney box above. It is a same as in attorney box above. It is a same as in attorney box above. It is a same as in attorney box above. It is a same as in attorney box above. It is a same as in attorney box above. It is a same as in attorney box above. It is a same as in attorney box above. It is a same as in attorney box above. It is a same as in attorney box above. It is a same as in attorney box above. It is a same as in attorney box above. It is a same as in attorney box above. It is a same as in attorney box above. It is a same as in attorney box above. It is a same as in attorney box above.	er the plea. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 30(b)(4)(B) under Penal Code section 1538.5, nust complete the Request for Certificate of
a. A jury or court trial. (Pen. Code, § 1237(a).) b. Acontested violation of probation. (Pen. Code, § 1237(b).) c. Aguilty (or no-contest) plea or an admitted probation violation (check all both of the sentence or other matters occurring after (2) This appeal is based on the sentence or other matters occurring after (2) This appeal is based on the denial of a motion to suppress evidence (3) This appeal challenges the validity of the plea or admission. (You in Probable Cause on the other side of this form.) d. Other (specify): I request that the court appoint an attorney on appeal. Defendant was represented by an appointed attorney in the superior court. Defendant's address: same as in attorney box above. as follows: Solano County Jail 500 Union Avenue Fairfield, CA 94533	er the plea. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 30(b)(4)(B) under Penal Code section 1538.5, nust complete the Request for Certificate of
a. A jury or court trial. (Pen. Code, § 1237(a).) b. Acontested violation of probation. (Pen. Code, § 1237(b).) c. Aguilty (or no-contest) plea or an admitted probation violation (check all both of the sentence or other matters occurring after (2). This appeal is based on the sentence or other matters occurring after (2). This appeal is based on the denial of a motion to suppress evidence (3). This appeal challenges the validity of the plea or admission. (You in Probable Cause on the other side of this form.) d. Other (specify): I request that the court appoint an attorney on appeal. Defendant was represented by an appointed attorney in the superior court. Defendant's address: same as in attorney box above. Solano County Jail 500 Union Avenue Fairfield, CA 94533	er the plea. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 30(b)(4)(B) under Penal Code section 1538.5, nust complete the Request for Certificate of
a. A jury or court trial. (Pen. Code, § 1237(a).) b. Acontested violation of probation. (Pen. Code, § 1237(b).) c. Aguilty (or no-contest) plea or an admitted probation violation (check all both of the sentence or other matters occurring after the court appeal is based on the sentence or other matters occurring after the court appeal is based on the denial of a motion to suppress evidence (3) This appeal challenges the validity of the plea or admission. (You in Probable Cause on the other side of this form.) d. Other (specify): I request that the court appoint an attorney on appeal. Defendant was represented by an appointed attorney in the superior court. Defendant's address: same as in attorney box above. Solano County Jail 500 Union Avenue Fairfield, CA 94533	er the plea. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 30(b)(4)(B) under Penal Code section 1538.5, nust complete the Request for Certificate of

CR-120 [Rev. July 1, 2005]

(Criminal)

American LegalNet, Inc. www.USCourtForms.com

MOTION(S): \$995 PC \$1538.5 PC DISCOVERY BAIL BOND CONTINUANCE Probation report considered by the Court. walved by deft. Arraignment walved; no legal cause for not sentencing. Parties walve right to have report 5 days prior to hearing. Parties walve right to have report 5 days prior to hearing. Probation Is denied. Refer to CDC for 90 day \$1203.03 PC diagnostic evaluation. Refer to CDC for 90 day \$1203.03 PC diagnostic evaluation. Refer to CDC for 90 day \$1203.03 PC diagnostic evaluation. Purs. to \$1170(d) PC \$1731.5(c) W8I Purs. to \$173 d.(a) PC Wall PC PC Wall PC PC PC PC PC PC PC
Stip to submit on Transcript Pleadings Testimony People call: Anaignment waived; no legal cause for not sentencing. Parties waive right to have report 5 days prior to hearing. Perbation is denied. Refer to CDC for 90 day §1203.03 PC diagnostic evaluation. Refer to CDC for 90 day §1203.03 PC diagnostic evaluation. Sentenced to state prison -TOTAL Yrs mos Purs. to §1170(d) PC §1731.5(c) W&I Count Refer to CDC for 90 day §1203.03 PC diagnostic evaluation. Sentenced to state prison -TOTAL Yrs mos Purs. to §1170(d) PC §1731.5(c) W&I Count Refer to CDC for 90 day §1203.03 PC diagnostic evaluation. Purs. to §1170(d) PC §1731.5(c) W&I Count Refer to CDC for 90 day §1203.03 PC diagnostic evaluation. Purs. to §1170(d) PC §1731.5(c) W&I Count Refer to CDC for 90 day §1203.03 PC diagnostic evaluation. Purs. to §1170(d) PC §1731.5(c) W&I Count Refer to CDC for 90 day §1203.03 PC diagnostic evaluation. Purs. to §1170(d) PC §1731.5(c) W&I Count Refer to CDC for 90 day §1203.03 PC diagnostic evaluation. Purs. to §1170(d) PC §1731.5(c) W&I Count Refer to CDC for 90 day §1203.03 PC diagnostic evaluation. Purs. to §1170(d) PC §1731.5(c) W&I Count Refer to CDC for 90 day §1203.03 PC diagnostic evaluation. Purs. to §1170(d) PC §1731.5(c) W&I Count Refer to CDC for 90 day §1203.03 PC diagnostic evaluation. Purs. to §1170(d) PC §1731.5(c) W&I Count Refer to CDC for 90 day §1203.03 PC diagnostic evaluation. Purs. to §1170(d) PC §1731.5(c) W&I Count Refer to CDC for 90 day §1203.03 PC diagnostic evaluation. Purs. to §1170(d) PC §1731.5(c) W&I
Defense calls: Refer to CDC for 90 day §1203.03 PC diagnostic evaluation. Purple of 910 day §1203.03 PC diagnostic evaluation. Refer to CDC for 91
Defense calls:
Counsel argue motion(e) Purs. to \$1170(d) PC \$1731.5(c) W&I
Crait Grants Denies Motion as to crt(s) DISMISSED. Grants Denies Motion Motion Motion Server Serv
□ Case □ Count(s) □ DISMISSED. □ Grants □ Denies □ Motion □ DA's response □ on the record. □ DA ordered to comply with Discovery by □ Enter Summ. Judg. re Bond # □ Vacate Summary Judgment date of □ J3 m·T = 16 mos Consec. □ MENTAL HEALTH □ \$1026 P.C. PROCEEDINGS □ MENTAL HEALTH □ \$1026 P.C. PROCEEDINGS □ Proceedings □ are □ have previously, been suspended □ Sentence doubled per \$667(b) - (f) & §1170.12 PC.
Grents □ Denles Be modified □ by DA's response □ on the record. □ DA ordered to comply with Discovery by □ Enter Summ. Judg. re Bond # □ Vacate Summary Judgment date of □ MENTAL HEALTH □ \$1026 P.C. PROCEEDINGS Proceedings □ are □ have previously, been suspended □ Sentence doubled per \$667(b) - (f) & §1170.12 PC.
as modified □ by DA's response □ on the record. □ DA ordered to comply with Discovery by □ Enter Summ. Judg. re Bond # □ Vacate Summary Judgment date of □ 1/3 m·T = 1/6 mos Consec. □ MENTAL HEALTH □ \$1026 P.C. PROCEEDINGS □ MENTAL HEALTH □ \$1026 P.C. PROCEEDINGS □ Sentence doubled per \$667(b) - (f) & §1170.12 PC.
□ DA ordered to comply with Discovery by □ Enter Summ. Judg. re Bond # □ Vacate Summary Judgment date of □ MENTAL HEALTH □ \$1026 P.C. PROCEEDINGS □ MENTAL HEALTH □ \$1026 P.C. PROCEEDINGS □ MENTAL HEALTH □ \$1026 P.C. PROCEEDINGS □ Sentence doubled per \$667(b) - (f) & §1170.12 PC
□ Vacate Summary Judgment date of □ 1/3 m·T = 1/2 mcS Conses □ MENTAL HEALTH □ \$1028 P.C. PROCEEDINGS Proceedings □ are □ have previously, been suspended □ Sentence doubled per \$667(b) - (f) & §1170.12 PC
□ MENTAL HEALTH □ \$1028 P.C. PROCEEDINGS Proceedings □ are □ have previously, been suspended □ Sentence doubled per \$667(b) - (f) & §1170.12 PC.
Proceedings are have previously, been suspended Sentence doubled per \$667(b) - (i) & §1170.12 PC.
Proceedings are have previously, been suspended Sentence doubled per \$667(b) - (i) & \$1170.12 PC.
□ Or.(s) &
□ \$3050 WI □ \$3051 W&I □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □
☐ Case certified for further proceedings. 16.3 days plus 80 §4019 PC time = 24.3
Counsel have not, received the doctor / M.H. report. days plus \$4019 PC time =
☐ Counsel stipulate to submit on the written reports of doctors. ☐ Trial setting is requested. ☐ Jury trial waived. ☐ Defendent advised of ☐ appeal rights ★ parcle status. ☐ \$\frac{4000}{1000}\$ fine imposed pursuant to \$1202.4(b) PC.
Peo's witness(es)
☐ Peo 3 Witness(es) 51202.45 PC fine susp'd unless parole revok'd. ☐ Def. witness(es) ☐ on each case
Based on preports testimony, the Court finds deft / resp. la is not, competent within the meaning of §1387 PC. is is not, significant danger of being addicted
Refer to Probation for CTS report.
Deft ordered committed to
purauant to for max, term 1551 P.C. EXTRADITION PROCEEDINGS or until restored to competence.
Court orders criminal proceedings reinstated. Advised of Extradition pending in State of
☐ Matter referred to ☐ Probation ☐ Mental Health ☐ Matter referred to ☐ Probation ☐ Mental Health ☐ Weiver signed in open court. ☐ I.D. hearing requested.
No. Bay Regional Canter L
for pre-sentence placement credits, report. Copy of waiver to jail. Governor's warrant served.
The state of the s
MISCELLANEOUS / OTHER ORDERS
Court Reserves Jurisdiction Re: Amount of marsdista
lostitution is to be paid to the victim
compensation goord in the amount to ise
determined.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

NAME: HUNTER, JERICE YATON	CASE NO(S): VCR180341 VF
OTHER ORDERS	JAIL COMMITMENT / CUSTODY STATUS
☐ Pay Fine \$ ☐incl ☐ plus penalty assessment	gervedays / months in jail.
Pay \$ Restitution Fine per 1202.4 PC plus	Stay to at am / pm In lieu of community service
\$(10%) Admin. fee	
\$ Security fee per 1465.8(a)(1) PC.	suspended / all susp but
SHOW PROOF OF: Compliance will vacate appearance date	CTS: days + 4019 PC time = Tota
Fine / fees, paid in full by	aconc consec with
hrs Comm Serv/Volunteer work by	Alternative sentencing program recommended denied
Restitution paid by:	☐ Work furlough ☐ only ☐ recommended ☐ denied
FTA Clearance inby	Work release ☐ only ☐ recommended ☐ denied ☐ enied ☐ cenied ☐ denied ☐ denied
Re-enroll DUI class/\$B38/	☐ Report to ☐ PROFESSIONAL MONITORING ☐ SDF
w/in days	within 3 working days
☐ Complete by Thru ☐ Prob ☐ Court	BOOK & RELEASE by
☐ Pastricted license granted:	Pay jall tees of \$ per day of custody.
to, from, during employment , DUI school / program, jail	Jail fees waived. Serve 1 day for each \$ of fine unpaid.
PROB. VIOLATION PROC. PROGRESS REPT.	or pay the fine \$
	REMANDED until next appearance - NO CITE RELEASE.
Deft admits denies, probation violation, based on:	As previously set 1275 PC APPLIES
new violation(s) in Case #, or	Ball set at \$ No Ball Cash only
	Reduced/increased to \$ Prev. bond applies
Counsei U voir dires defendant U submits waiver of rights.	Until picked up by authorities.
Court accepts admission after knowing, intelligent waiver of rights, finding admission to be free & voluntary with adequate	Allowedphone calls at County expense
rights, finding admission to be free & voluntary with adequate factual basis.	☐ limited to min. ea. ☐ during normal business his ☐ Allowed Uvisit(s) ☐ contact visit(s) with:
Peo's witness(es):	
	at the Sheriff's discretion Contact visit shall not delay defendant's transport to CDC.
Defs witness(es):	☐ Release to P.O. for program ☐ screening ☐ placement
	Refer for SOR CTSreport.
Court finds deft. In violation dismisses the violation. No action taken.	OCLEACED CONTINUED
revokes prebation, based on:	RELEASED / CONTINUED
	OR SOR BAIL DEJ PROBATION In custody other case(s) INTP INTP PENDING
☐ Referred for ☐ Supplemental ☐ Progress	RELEASE PENDING OTHER HOLDS
report.	Release conditions: Obey all laws Totally abstain from the use of alcohol illicit drugs.
☐ Report to Probation ☐ today ☐ by	Submit to alcohol drug testingX's per w
Probation is:	Search & selzure. Do not enter any public place where the primary item sold.
☐ continued ☐ same terms/conditions ☐ full force & effect.	or dispageed is an intexted tipulor.
☐ reinstated - SEE PROBATION ORDER FOR	Attend AA or NA mtgs per wk / mo inday
MODIFICATION(\$).	Bring proof to court. Do not drive without a valid driver's license.
terminated as successful unsuccessful	Make & keep appointment with attorney / Probation.
Pet, granted per §1203.4 PC Treduced to misd. per §17 PC	☐ No contact ☐ No <u>uninvited</u> contact with ☐ Stay away from
Pet. granted per 1210.1(d) PC. Case dismissed.	
Any unfulfilled terms in (Do not harasa, annoy, threaten
transferred to Case #	CLETS order signed, tiled, faxed. Served on defendant.
transferred to case *	Outer.
TO THE SHERIFF: I CERTIFY THE FOREGOING TO BE A TRUE O	CORV OF THE INDOMENT RENDERED ON THIS DATE BY THE
TO THE SHERIFF: I CERTIFY THE FOREGOING TO BE A TRUE CABOVE-NAMED JUDGE.	
LINDA G. ASHCRAFT, CLERK / CEO	BY an your
DEFENDANT, BEING RELEASED ON HIS / HER OWN RECOGNIZANCE AGREES TH	AT:
 Defendant promises to appear at all times and places, as crosered by a promises to obey all reasonable conditions imposed by the court or megistrate; 3) defe- waive extraction it the defendant falls to appear as required and is apprehended dutald. 	my confirmation to depart this state without leave of the court; 4) defendent egrees in modern promises not to depart this state without leave of the court; 4) defendent egrees in the State of California, and; 5) defendent ecknowledges (s)he has been informed of the
Defendent understands that if charged with a misdemeaner or an infraction	n and released and willfully falls to appear, (s)he is guilty of a misdemeanor and may c
punished by not more than eix (6) months in county jet, a one thousand collet (1,000) in Defendant understands that it charged with a falloy and released and willfull years in state prison, or one (1) year in county jet and a fine not to exceed ten thousand	u (alie to sopear, (a)he is quilty of a falony and may be punished by not more than three (
years in state prison, or one (1) year in county jail and a life not to exceed ten dropped.	
DEFENDANT	Witness Date
ADDRESS	***************************************
the Laboratory	Interpreter Date
DOB: PHONE NO	Interpreter Date



Cilimia Min	CASE NO (S): VCR180941 VF
PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA VS	DATE:
HUNTER, JERICE YATON Defendant X present not present	DATE:
HICKORY AND CLEAR AS	CUDGE COMM: AVAILLES
: Deputy D.A.	REPORTER: KTietze
DEPENSE: COLOL (ON)	CLERK: 1 MC41001
INTERPRETER	
Court(s) / Charges(s): 273d(a) -PC, 273d(a) -PC, 273d(a)	-PC, 273d (a) -PC Deff LD. #: \$394833 Deff Status: ∠CJ) Arrest Agency: VJPD
DOB: 10/13/1973 Dr. Libense #: Booking #: 05-14570 D.A. #: V053478	Citation #: Violation Date: 04/20/2005
THIS CASE IS ASSIGNED TO JUDGE	DEPTFOR ALL PURPOSES
☐ ARR / FUR. ARR ☐ COUNSEL/PLEA ☐ POSS DISM	BENCH WARRANT / BAIL ORDERS
☐ ADMIT/DENY ☐ REV PROC ☐ PROG RPT ☐ PT☐ RC☐ RCSP☐ RCTS☐ TRC☐	B / W Ordered - Ball \$ NO CITE RELEASE
Set freum / Notion to hound	Cash Only No Ball Stayed To issue Remain out OR / SOR Revoked DEJ Revoked Probation Revoked
	☐ Vacated ☐ Recalled from ☐ S.O. ☐
☐ Advised pursuant to §987.8 PC. ☐ Financial statement filed. Deft ☐ eligible ☐ ineligible, for court-appointed counsel.	Bail Forfelted - Bond #
\$P.D. registration fee ordered waived.	Cash In lieu of fine Notice of bail forfeiture stayed to
declares conflict; relieved as counsel.	Reinstated Exonersted after 15 days if no Comp. filed.
Court appoints	Reassumption of liability filed.
Ki waiyes arraignment 🔣 Waiyes advice of fights 🔠	Time Walved Pro Rata Not Walved
Arreigned X Advised of rights □ Deft's true name □ above stated □ (ZkQ Amundud)	for Trial J&S D
- Millianded Millian, □ det (Milliannstant) Information	Jury Trial Demanded Est. time for trial days
Discov. Police rept Transcript INTP PENDING	☐ Walved ☐ Confirmed ☐ Vacated
Court grants DA's Motion to Amend to Add Reduce	Set or TRLC (or see below)atDept
☐ Deft pleads: ☐ Deft withdraws previous plea(s) & pleade	Last date for trial NG Plea date
Court grants DA's Motion to Amend to Acc Ame	SEE PAGE 3 RE:
to X all count(a)	Though sind a new nounted and the next to
4 - 4 - 4	Anichel V HIVIA GOOD COULTE THE TACK
aection(e) Criminal proceedings suspended reinstated Deft ordered to complete D.A. Diversion.	re second anunded complaint
□ Delt placed on informal Diversion for 6 months re dismissal. Pursuant to □ Pao vs West □ §17 PC □ §1192.5 PC	
Reserve right to file demurrer.	
- Park	On Motion of Court DA Perense Probation,
- Figure	図 CONT. TO 1-9-04V AT 10:00 AM
Admit DenyPrior(5)	DEPT, FOR: (See below)
Admit DenyEnhancement(s)	Further Arraignment Psych. Report(s) B O B / CTS Report M. H. Placement Report
Deft voir dired by ☐ Crt X Counsel. ☐ Submits waiver of rights	Gounsel / Pies Supplemental Report
SEE DEFERRED ENTRY OF JUDGMENT ORDER. Based on Noic plea Coun finds defendant guilty.	Stay on Bench Warrant
Court accepts plea and finds plea is tree a voluntary	Motions / Ruling on Motions
☐ Intelligent waiver of rights is given ☐ factual basis established based on ☐ stipulation	Change of Plea Progress Report DEJ
police rept prellm transcript admission	TRC Admit / Denv Prob. Viol.
Cons Count(s) DISMISSED.	☐ RC ☐ RCSP ☐ RCTS ☐ TMC ☐ Revocation Proceedings ☐ Court / JURY Trial - ☐ Calling ☐ \$1551 PC Proceedings
on motion of DA Ticourt W/ Harvey waiver	Preliminary Hearing - Contirmed
Cotored wohred I W/ Hestitution	Coult opens in a
Time of Trial J&S Lest day for PX	date Further Proc, re:
SEE PROBATION ORDER.	
Referred to Probation for report re: pre-sentence supp rpt	Formal Revocation Hearing, re:
☐ SOR ☐ C15 ☐ piacement ☐ ☐ bett to report to Prob. ☐ today ☐ by	
	Other
Counsel request setting U \$995 U \$1538.5 U Discovery motion.	Deft's appear. waived if
Defense file motion by	OTHER COURT DATE: 1-5-OU AT 6:30 DEPT U FOR FLAGURES CONF.
DA respond by Def. answer by	DEPT U FOR LEADENESS CONF.
MINUTE ORDER WAIVER TO PROB. ON Form #405 Rev 8-05	

NAME: HUNTER, JERICE YATON	CASE NO(S): VCR180741 VF
OTHER ORDERS	JAIL COMMITMENT / CUSTODY STATUS
Pay Fine \$ [incl.] plus penalty assessment	SERVEdays / months in jail. 🔲 Forthwith
Pay \$ Reatitution Fine per 1202.4 PC plus	Stay to at am / pm In lieu of tine In lieu of community service
\$(10%) Admin, fee	l .
Security fee per 1465.8(a)(1) PC.	suspended / all susp but
SHOW PROOF OF: Compliance will vacate appearance date	CTS: days + 4019 PC time = Total
☐ Fine / fees, paid in full by	cone consec with
hrs Comm Serv/Volunteer work by	Alternative sentencing program [recommended [denied
Restitution paid by	☐ Work furlough ☐ only ☐ recommended ☐ denied
	Work release only recommended denied
FTA Clearance in	☐ E.M.R. ☐ only ☐ recommended ☐ denied
Re-enroll DUI class/8B38/	Report to PROFESSIONAL MONITORING SDF
w/in days	within 3 working days BOOK & RELEASE by
☐ Complete by Thru ☐ Prob ☐ Court	(8 am – 4 pm. Mondey thru Friday)
Restricted license granted:	Pay jall fees of \$per day of custody.
to, from, during employment , DUI school / program, jail	☐ Jail fees waived. ☐ Serve 1 day for each \$ of fine unpaid
☐ PROB. VIOLATION PROC. ☐ PROGRESS REPT.	or pay the fine \$
	☐ <u>REMANDED</u> until next appearance - NO CITE RELEASE.
Deft admits denies, probation violation, based on:	As previously set
new violation(s) in Case #, or	Ball set at \$ No Ball _ Cash only
	☐ Reduced/Increased to \$ ☐Prev. bond applies
Committee of the control of the cont	Until picked up by authorities.
Counsel volr dires defendent submits watver of rights. Court accepts admission after knowing, intelligent waiver of	☐ Allowed phone calls ☐ at County expense
rights, finding admission to be free & voluntary with adequate	│ limited to │ min. ea. │ during normal business hrs │ Allowed │ visit(s) │ contact visit(s) with:
factual basis. Peo's witness(es):	Allowed visit(s) contact visit(s) with:
	at the Sheriff's discretion
Dette udseentee)	contact visit shall not delay defendant's transport to CDC.
Def's witness(es):	Release to P.O. for program screening placement
	☐ Refer for ☐ SOR ☐ CTS ☐ report.
Court Inda deft. in Molation Indicate the violation. In No action taken.	DELEGED (DONTHUED)
revokes probation, based on:	RELEASED (CONTINUED)
	OR SOR BAIL DEJ PROBATION In custody other case(s) INTP INTP PENDING
☐ Referred for ☐ Supplemental ☐ Progress	RELEASE PENDING OTHER HOLDS
report.	Release conditions: Obey all laws
☐ Report to Probation ☐ today ☐ by	☐ Totally shetsin from the use of ☐ alcohol ☐ illicit drugs.
•	☐ Submit to ☐ alcohol ☐ drug testing ☐X's per w ☐ Search & selzure.
☐ Probation Is: ☐ continued ☐ same terms/conditions ☐ full force & effect.	Do not enter any public place where the primary item sold
	ar dispensed is an intexicating liquor.
☐ reinstated - SEE PROBATION ORDER FOR	☐ Attend AA or NA mtgs. ☐ per wk / mo ☐ indsy ☐ Bring proof to court.
MODIFICATION(S).	On not drive without a valid driver's license.
terminated as successful unsuccessful	Make & keep appointment with attorney / Probation. Do not possess any weapons or ammunition.
Pet. granted per §1203.4 PC Treduced to miad. per §17 PC	☐ No contact ☐ No <u>unlnyited</u> contact with ☐ Stay away from
Pet. granted per 1210.1(d) PC. Case dismissed.	Do not heraes, annoy, threaten
Any unfulfilled terms in ()	CLETS order signed, filed, faxed. Served on defendant.
transferred to Case #	Other:
O THE SHERIFF: I CERTIFY THE FOREGOING TO BE A TRUE C	OPY OF THE JUDGMENT RENDERED ON THIS DATE BY THE
'O THE SHERIFF: I CENTIFY THE FOREGOING TO BE A TRUE O BOVE-NAMED JUDGE.	A A
LINDA G. ASHCRAFT, CLERK / CEO	BY B.M. Jall
·	-
1) Defendent promises to appear at all times and places, as drosted by a	by court at which, or any transfer the state of the sourt A) defendent across t
which extraction it the descripting is appear as required entry is appreciated occurs.	THE DIEGO OF THE PROPERTY OF T
Defendant understands that if charged with a misdemeanor or an infraction	and released and willfully fails to appear, (a)he is guilty of a misdameanor and may to
punished by not more than six (6) months in county (2), a one mouseur golds (21,000) in	falls in appear, (s)he is guilty of a felony end may be punished by not more than three (
rears in state prison, or one (1) year in county jail and a line not to exceed tell thousand t	SCHRES (\$ LACORD) AL OCCU-
DEFENDANT	A
ADDRESS	Witness Date
DAR BHONE NO	interpreter Date

2*

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA OFF CAL COUNTY OF SOLANO Criminal Minute Order CASE NO (\$): _____VCR18094; PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA VS HUNTER, JERICE YATON DATE: --not present Defendant M∠ present SUDGE/COMM: PEOPLE: . Deputy D.A. REPORTER: DEFENSE: ☐ Private CLERK: INTERPRETER . Spanish Court(s) / Charges(s): 204-PC,273d(a)-PC,273d(a)-PC,273d(a)-PC,273d(a)-PC,273d(a)-PdD.# **#394833** DOB: 10/13/1973 Dr. License #: Artest Agency: **VJPD** 05-14570 D.A.#: V053478 Citation #: Violation Date: 04/20/2005 THIS CASE IS ASSIGNED TO JUDGE FOR ALL PURPOSES DEPT ARR / FUR. ARR COUNSELPLEA POSS DISM ADMIT/DENY REV.PROC PROGRET BENCH WARRANT / BAIL ORDERS _ PROG RPT PTXRC RCSP RCTS TRC B / W Ordered - Bail NO CITE RELEASE Cash Only No Bail Stayed To Issue Remain out OR / SOR Revoked DEJ Revoked Probation Revoked ☐ Vacated ☐ Recalled from ☐ S.O. ☐ ... Advised pursuant to §987.8 PC. Financial statement filed. Deft eligible ineligible, for court-appointed counsel, Ball Forfeited - Bond # Cash In lieu of fine P.D. registration fee ordered weived. Notice of ball forfeiture stayed to _ ___ declares conflict; relieved as counsel. ☐ Reinstated ☐ Exonerated ☐ after 15 days if no Comp. filed. Court appoints _ to represent deft. Deft waives counsel. Dett waives counset. S977(b) PC waiver filed. Waives advice of rights Reassumption of liability filed. Time Walved Pro Rata for Trial J&S Not Weived Arraigned Advised of rights Jury Trial 🔲 Demanded -- Est, time for trial _ ☐ Waived ☐ Confirmed ☐ Vacated __ ____ at ____ Set Of TRLC (or see below)__ Section(a) Cot(s) Deft pleads: Deft withdraws previous plea(s) & pleads Not Guilty Not Guilty by Reason of Insanity Guilty Noic Contenders Deft pleads: NG Plea date . Last date for trial TI SEE PAGE 3 RE: to all count(s) count(s) ☐ Crimital proceedings ☐ suspended ☐ reinstated ☐ Deft ordered to complete D.A. Diversion. ☐ Deft placed on informal Diversion for 6 months re dismissal. Pursuant to Peo vs West 517 PC \$1192.5 PC Reserve right to file demurrer. On Motion of Court DA Defense Probation, 1-30-06 AT /0:00an CONT. TO ____ Admit Deny___ ___ Prior(s) DEPT , FOR: (See below) ☐ Admit ☐ Deny___ Enhancement(s) Further Arraignment S.O.R / CTS Report Counse! / Plea Psych. Report(s) Deft voir dired by Crt Counsel Submits waiver of rights M. H. Placement Report Supplemental Report SEE DEFERRED ENTRY OF JUDGMENT ORDER. Stay on Bench Warrant Judgment and Sentence Based on Noio plea Court finds defendant guilty. Sentencing on PV Setting: . Motions / Ruling on Motions Change of Plea Possible Dismissal Pretrial Conference TRC | RCSP | RCTS | TMC | Revocation Proceedings Court accepts plea and finds | plea is free & voluntary intelligent walver of rights is given factual basis established based on stipulation police rept prelim transcript admission Court / JURY Trial - Calling S1551 PC Proceedings Case Count(s) Preliminary Hearing - Confirmed on motion of DA Court W/ Harvey waiver_ w/ Restitution ☐ Referral walved. ☐ w/ Restitution Time ☐ Walved ☐ 10 / 60 ☐ Not Walved ☐ Pro Rata for ☐ Trial ☐ J&S ☐ Last day for PX VACATE Further Proc, re:____ date SEE PROBATION ORDER Referred to Probation for report re: pre-sentence supp rpt

SOR CTS placement DD Daft to report to Prob. today Dby Probation Officer to Interview defendant.

MINUTE ORDER WAIVER TO PROB. ON

Defense file motion by

DA respond by _

Form #405 Rev 8-05

Counsel request setting 5995 5 \$1538.5 Discovery

.__; Def. answer by _

Formal Revocation Hearing, re:

Deft's appear, walved if _

, FOR

 \sim

OTHER COURT DATE: ___

Other ___

AG	EN	ICY:	VPD

D.A. NO.: V053478

Arresting Officer: TRIBBLE, Badge # 587

DEFENDANT	CII NO	DOB	BOOKING NO	CUSTODY R'TN DATE
GEORGE EDWARD SHOCKLEY JERICE YATON HUNTER	A08211 886 A10956	4/17/1967 10/13/1973	76115 05-14570	

1	Court Case Code/Statute Conviction Date County State Court Type
2	C34584 Penal Code 288(a) 04/29/1993 Solano CA Superior
į.	It is further alleged as to count(s) 1,2,3,4,5 pursuant to Penal Code Section 667.5(b) that the defendant GEORGE EDWARD SHOCKLEY, has suffered the following prior conviction(s):
3	detendant diborot ED ward SitoCreek, has suffered the following prior conviction(s).
4	Court Case Code/Statute Conviction Date County State Court Type
5	C34584 Penal Code 288(a) 04/29/1993 Solano CA Superior
ļ	and that a town was served as described in Danal Code section 667.5 for said affects (a) and that
6	and that a term was served as described in Penal Code section 667.5 for said offense(s), and that the defendant did not remain free of prison custody for, and did commit an offense resulting in a
7	felony conviction during, a period of five years subsequent to the conclusion of said term.
8	
- 1	It is further alleged as to count(s) 1,2,3,4,5 pursuant to Penal Code Section 667.5(b) that the
9	defendant GEORGE EDWARD SHOCKLEY, has suffered the following prior conviction(s):
10	Court Case Code/Statute Conviction Date County State Court Type
11	C44318 Penal Code 290(g) 08/26/1997 Solano CA Superior
12	and that a term was served as described in Penal Code section 667.5 for said offense(s), and that
13	the defendant did not remain free of prison custody for, and did commit an offense resulting in a felony conviction during, a period of five years subsequent to the conclusion of said term.
ł	leiony conviction during, a period of five years subsequent to the conclusion of said term.
14	It is further alleged that the defendant GEORGE EDWARD SHOCKLEY AND JERICE
15	YATON HUNTER, did commit acts which aggravate the above crime(s) pursuant to Rules 4.408
16	and 4.421 of the California Rules of Court.
1	DISCOVERY REQUEST PURSUANT TO PENAL CODE SECTION 1054/1054.7:
17	Pursuant to Penal Code sections 1054 through 1054.7, the People request that, within fifteen (15)
18	days, the defendant and/or his/her attorney disclose: (a) The names and addresses of persons,
19	other than the defendant, he/she intends to call as witnesses at trial, together with any relevant
	written or recorded statements of experts made in connection with the case, and including the results of physical or mental examinations, scientific tests, experiments, or comparisons which
20	the defendant intends to offer in evidence at the trial. This request is a continuing request, to
21	cover not only all such material currently in existence, but also all material which comes into
	existence to the conclusion of this case.
22	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER
23	I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND
24	CORRECT AND THAT THIS COMPLAINT CONSISTS OF 6 COUNT(S).
	Executed at Vallejo, California, on October 26, 2005.
25	
26	TENDY DAY
	TERRY RAY DECLARANT AND COMPLAINANT
	DECEMENT AND COME AS A STATE OF THE STATE OF

COUNT 2

On or about and between April 20, 2005 and October 14, 2005, defendant(s) GEORGE EDWARD SHOCKLEY AND JERICE YATON HUNTER did commit a felony namely: CORPORAL INJURY TO CHILD, a violation of Section 273d(a) of the Penal Code of the State of California, County of Solano, in that said defendant did willfully and unlawfully inflict cruel and inhuman corporal punishment and injury, resulting in a traumatic condition, upon a child, to wit, J.H. age 14, male.

COUNT 3

On or about and between April 20, 2005 and October 14, 2005, defendant(s) GEORGE EDWARD SHOCKLEY AND JERICE YATON HUNTER did commit a felony namely: CORPORAL INJURY TO CHILD, a violation of Section 273d(a) of the Penal Code of the State of California, County of Solano, in that said defendant did willfully and unlawfully inflict cruel and inhuman corporal punishment and injury, resulting in a traumatic condition, upon a child, to wit, J.H., age 9 female.

COUNT 4

On or about and between April 20, 2005 and October 14, 2005, defendant(s) GEORGE EDWARD SHOCKLEY AND JERICE YATON HUNTER did commit a felony namely: CORPORAL INJURY TO CHILD, a violation of Section 273d(a) of the Penal Code of the State of California, County of Solano, in that said defendant did willfully and unlawfully inflict cruel and inhuman corporal punishment and injury, resulting in a traumatic condition, upon a child, to wit, J.H., age 7, female.

COUNT 5

On or about and between April 20, 2005 and October 14, 2005, defendant(s) GEORGE EDWARD SHOCKLEY AND JERICE YATON HUNTER did commit a felony namely: CORPORAL INJURY TO CHILD, a violation of Section 273d(a) of the Penal Code of the State of California, County of Solano, in that said defendant did willfully and unlawfully inflict cruel and inhuman corporal punishment and injury, resulting in a traumatic condition, upon a child, to wit, J.H., age 3, female.

COUNT 6

On or about and between April 20, 2005 and October 15, 2005, defendant(s) GEORGE EDWARD SHOCKLEY did commit a felony namely: FAILURE TO REGISTER, PRIOR CONVICTION, a violation of Section 290(g)(3) of the Penal Code of the State of California, County of Solano, in that said defendant being a person described in paragraph (3) of subdivision (g) of Section 290, did willfully violate a requirement of Section 290 after having been previously convicted of a violation of Section 290.

It is further alleged pursuant to Penal Code sections 1170.12(a) through (d) and 667(b) through (i) as to counts 1,2,3,4,5 that the defendants(s): GEORGE EDWARD SHOCKLEY and JERICE YATON HUNTER, has suffered the following prior conviction of a serious or violent felony or juvenile adjudication:

1 2 3 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA 4 COUNTY OF SOLANO 5 6 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff 7 Case No. VCR180818 8 VCR180941 VS. 9 SECOND AMENDED FELONY COMPLAINT 10 GEORGE EDWARD SHOCKLEY (4/17/1967) 11 JERICE YATON HUNTER (10/13/1973) 12 13 14 Defendant(s) 15 16 The undersigned is informed and believes that: 17 COUNT 1 18 On or about and between April 1, 2005 and October 14, 2005, defendant(s) GEORGE EDWARD SHOCKLEY AND JERICE YATON HUNTER did commit a felony namely: TORTURE, a 19 violation of Section 206 of the Penal Code of the State of California, County of Solano, in that 20 said defendant did unlawfully and with the intent to cause cruel and extreme pain and suffering for the purpose of revenge, extortion, persuasion and for a sadistic purpose, inflict great bodily 21 injury, as defined in Penal Code section 12022.7, upon J. H. age 7, female. 22 "NOTICE: Conviction of this offense will require you to provide specimens and samples 23

pursuant to Penal Code section 296. Willful refusal to provide the specimens and samples is a

24

25

26

crime."

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Ī

The court in which an action is pending may order or permit an amendment of an indictment, accusation or information, or the filing of an amended complaint, for any defect or insufficiency, at any stage of the proceedings.... The defendant shall be required to plead to such amendment or amended pleading forthwith, or, at the time fixed for pleading, if he has not yet pleaded and the trial or other proceeding shall continue as if the pleading had been originally filed as amended, unless the substantial rights of the defendant would be prejudiced thereby, in which event a reasonable postponement, not longer than the ends of justice require, may be granted. Penal Code Section 1009.

II

In the instant matter, the People respectfully request that the complaint be amended to INCLUDE AS COUNT ONE-PC206. ALL OTHER COUNTS REMAIN AS CHARGED.

Dated: October 27, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

DAVID W. PAULSON
District Afformey

/____/

TERRY RAY/

Deputy District Attorney

Page 2



DEC 0 1 2005

LINDA G. ASHCRAFT

BY DEPUTY CLERK

DAVID W. FAULSON
District Attorney of Solano County
By: TERRY RAY, #195076
321 Tuolumne Street

Vallejo, California 94590 Telephone (707)553-5321

Attorney for the People

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF SOLANO

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF
CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

VCR180818

VCR180941

NOTICE OF MOTION
TO AMEND COMPLAINT
AND POINTS AND
AUTHORITIES IN
SUPPORT OF MOTION

DATE: 10/27/205 DEPT: 6

Defendant(s) TIME: 8:30AM

TO THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANT AND HIS ATTORNEY:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on October 27, 2005, in Dept. D6 at 8:30 AM, or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard in the above entitled Court, the People will move for an Order of Court permitting an Amended Complaint to be filed herein, a copy of which is attached hereto.

NAME: HUNTER, JERICE YATON		CASE NO(S): 4 VCR180941 VF
OTHER ORDERS	· ·	JAIL COMMITMENT / CUSTODY STATUS
Pay Fine \$ incl. plus pa		SERVEdays / months in Jail Forthwith
	or revisit Fu pids	Stay to at am / pm
\$(10%) Admin. fee		suspended / all susp but
\$ \$ecuitty fee per 1465 8(a)(•	CTS:days +4019 PC time =Tota
SHOW PROOF OF: Compliance will vacat	e appearance date	conc consec with
Fine / fees, paid in full by	·	
hrs Comm Serv/Volunteer wo		Alternative sentencing program recommended denied work furlough only recommended denied
☐ Restitution paid by	<u>:</u>	☐ Work release ☐ only ☐ recommended ☐ denied
FTA Clearance in	by	☐ E.M.R. ☐ only ☐ recommended ☐ denied
☐ Re-enroll DUI class/SB38/		Report to PROFESSIONAL MONITORING SDF
	w/in days	within 3 working days
Complete byThru [⊔ Prob ∐ Court	(8 am – 4 pm. Monday thru rhosy)
Restricted license granted: [] to, from, during employment , DU sch	ool / program init	Pay jail tees of \$ per day of custody. Jail fees waived.
		Serve 1 day for each \$ of fine unpaid
PROB. VIOLATION PROC. PRO	GRESS REPT.	or pay the fine \$
Delt admits denies, probation violation		BEMANDED until next appearance - NO CITE RELEASE.
Deft admits dentes, probation viciation new violation(s) in Case #		☐ As previously set ☐ 1275 PC APPLIES
☐ 4194A AIOIRITOIN® UL M999 #		Beil set at \$50,000 □ No Bail □ Cash on
		☐ Reduced/Increased to \$ ☐ Prev. bond applie
Counsel _ voir dires derendant _ submits	waiver of rights.	Until picked up by authorities
Court accepts admission after knowing, in rights, finding admission to be free & volur	ntelligent waiver of	Challeged shape coils County expense
factual basis.	;	Allowed
<u> </u>	·	at the Sheriff's discretion contact visit shall not delay defendant's transport to CDC.
☐ Def's witness(es):		☐ Release to P.O. for program ☐ screening ☐ placement
	'	Refer for SOR CTSreport.
Court I finds deft. In violation	nitton taken	
diamisses the violation. No revokes probation, based on:	epudii (AKON.	RELEASED / CONTINUED
	·	OR SOR BAIL DEJ PROBATION In custody other case(s) INTP INTP PENDING
☐ Referred for ☐ Supplemental ☐ Progres	18	☐ RELEASE PENDING OTHER HOLDS
	report.	Between poodificate: Gobev all laws
☐ Report to Probation ☐ today ☐ by	:	☐ Totally abetain from the use of ☐ alcohol ☐ illicit drugs. ☐ Submit to ☐ alcohol ☐ drug testing ☐X's per v
☐ Probation is:		T teorem & salvers
Continued same terms/conditions	iuli force & effect.	Do not enter any public place where the primary item sold
reinstated - SEE PROBATION ORD	DER FOR	Attend AA or NA mtgs per wk / mo inaa
MODIFICATION		Bring proof to court. The not drive without a vetid driver's license.
	anni d	Make & keep appointment with attorney / Propation.
☐ terminated as ☐ successful ☐ unaucc		☐ Do not possess any weapons or ammunition. ☐ No contact ☐ No <u>uninvited</u> contact with ☐ Stay away from
☐ Pet. granted per §1203.4 PC ☐ reduced		The second of the second secon
	Case diamiased.	Do not harass, annoy, threaten
☐ Any unfulfilled terms in (Do not harass, annoy, threaten CLETS order signed, filled, faxed. Served on defendant. Other:
transferred to Case #	<u>. </u>	☐ Other:
	:	
TO THE SHERIFF: I CERTIFY THE FOREBO	ING TO BE A TRUE COP	PY OF THE JUDGMENT RENDERED ON THIS DATE BY THE
ABOVE-NAMED JUDGE.		- (1 Col (c a)
LINDA G. ASHCRA	A Committee of the Comm	BY M. W. W.
promises to obey all reasonable conditions imposed by the	and places, as program by any count or magistrate; 3) defends:	ont promises not to depart this state without leave of the count; 4) defendant agrees the promises not to depart this state without leave of the count; 4) defendant acknowledges (s)he has been informed of the state of California, and; 5) defendant acknowledges (s)he has been informed of the
consequences and ponances applicable to document with a di	niedemeanor or an infraction an	nd released and willfully falls to appear, (s)ne of guilty or a masternation and
punished by not more than alx (6) months in county jail, a or	ne théusand dollar (\$1,000) fine, ony and reiseased and willfully fai	or both. its to appear, (s)he is guilty of a felony and may be punished by not more than three l lars (\$10,000) or both.
Defendant understands that if charged with a law years in state prison, or one (1) year in county jail and a line	not to exceed ten thousand doll	inim (grapoo) ni sout.
DEFENDANT		Witness Date
ADDRESS		Mituess bate
		Pioto
DOB:PHÔNE NO		Interpreter Date



PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA VS	CASE NO (S): VCR180941 VE
- HUNTER, JERICE YATON	DATE: 0 C+ 24.7005
	JUDGETCOMM) Wille
PEOPLE: Deputy D.A.	JUDISH COMM
() 11- ()	REPORTER:
DEFENSE: Private P.D. C.D.	CLEBE GCALCINAL
INTERPRETER	CLEMIC
Spanish	3 BC 2734(B) PC Dett.D.#: @394833
Court(s) / Charges(s): 273d(a) -PC,273d(a) -PC,273d(a DOB: 10/13/1973 Dr. License #:	Delt Statue. Cu
Booking #: 05-14570 D.A. #: V053478	Citation #: Violation Date: 04/20/2005
THIS CASE IS ASSIGNED TO JUDGE	DEPT OF ALL PUMPOSES
THIS CASE IS ASSESSED TO SUBJECT TO STATE OF THE CASE IS ASSESSED TO SUBJECT TO STATE OF THE CASE IS ASSESSED TO SUBJECT TO STATE OF THE CASE IS ASSESSED TO SUBJECT TO STATE OF THE CASE IS ASSESSED TO SUBJECT TO STATE OF THE CASE IS ASSESSED.	
TOWN FUR. ARR COUNSEL/PLEA POSS DISM	BENCH WARRANT / BAIL ORDERS
ADMIT/DENY REV PROC PROGRET	
□PT□RC□RCSP□RCTS□TRC□	Steved To issue Remain out
	OR / SOR Revoked DEJ Revoked Probation Revoked Vacated Recalled from S.O.
Advised pursuant to \$987.6 PC. Financial statement filed.	Ball Forfeited - Bond #
Dett(☑ eligible ☐ ineligible, for court-appointed counsel. □ \$ P.D. registration fee ☐ ordered ☐ waived.	Cash I In lieu of fine
declares conflict; relieved as counsel.	Notice of ball forfeiture stayed to
THE Court appoints C.D to represent deft.	☐ Reinstated ☐ Exonerated ☐ after 15 days if no Comp. filed. ☐ Reassumption of tiability filed.
to represent deft. Deft waives counsel. Welves arraignment Waives advice of rights	Time Walved Pro Rata Not Walved
	for Trial J&S
Arragine D above stated D Information Handed Batty D deft Completin D information	Jury Trial Demanded Est, time for trial days
Discov Bolice AM Transcript LINTY PERVING	□ Walved □ Confirmed □ Vacated
Court grants DA's Motion to Amend to W Add La Reduce	Set or TRLC (or see below)atDept
Cnt(s) Section(s) Delt pleade: Delt withdraws previous plea(s) & pleads	Last date for trialNG Plea date
Not Guilty Not Guilty by Reason of Insentty	SEE PAGE 3 RE:
Guilty Noie Contendere to all count(s) count(s)	□ SEE PAGE STEE
Apotion(a)	DEFENSE RESERVES THE
☐ Criminal proceedings ☐ suspended ☐ reinstated ☐ Deft ordered to complete D.A. Diversion.	RIGHT TO DEMURRER
□ Deft placed on Informal Diversion for 6 months re dismissal. Pursuant to □ Peo vs West □ §17 PC □ §1192.5 PC	
Reserve right to file demurrer.	
	On Motion of Court DA Defense Probation,
	CONT. TO 11-3-05
☐ Admit ☑ DenyPrior(s)	DEPT, FOH: (See below)
Admit DenyEnfancement(s)	☐ Further Arreignment ☐ Psych. Report(s) ☐ S.O.R / CTS Report ☐ M. H. Placement Report ☐ M. H. Placement Report
Deft voir dired by Crt Counsel. Submits waiver of rights SEE DEFERRED ENTRY OF JUDGMENT ORDER.	III Counsel / Pica Supplemental report
Based on Noto plea Court finds defendent guilty.	Stay on Bench Warrant Judgment and Semente
Court accepts plea and finds plea is tree & voluntary	Setting: Settending on Motions Settending on PV
☐ intelligent welver of rights is given ☐ factual basis established based on ☐ stipulation	☐ Change of Piea ☐ Placement Report ☐ DEJ ☐ Progress Report ☐ DEJ
police rept prelim transcript admission	Possible Dismission Prestrial Conference
DISMISSED.	Court / JURY Trial - 1 Calling LJ 91551 PC Flocoecongs
on motion of DA Court w/ Harvey waiver	Preliminary Hearing - L. Contirmed
	date 11- 7-00 Further Proc, re:
Time Walved 10 / 60 Not Walved Pro Rata for Trial J&S Last day for PX	time 10 h u
SEE PROBATION ORDER.	7
the deeper of the Department of the Control of the	Formal Revocation Hearing, re:
☐ SOR ☐ CTS ☐ placement ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	
☐ Probation Officer to Interview detendant ☐ Counsel request setting ☐ \$995 ☐ \$1538.5 ☐ Discovery	Other
.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	☐ Deft's appear, waived if
Defense file motion by	OTHER COURT DATE:
☐ MINUTE ORDER ☐ WAIVER TO PROB. ON	DEPT, FOR
Form #405 Rev 8:05	
:	1

	SHITATO VONCENIES
OTHER ORDERS	AIL COMMITMENT / CUSTODY STATUS
Pay Fine \$plue penalty assessment	SERVE days / months in jall.
Pay\$Restitution Fine per 1202.4 PC plus	Stay to am / pm ln lieu of fine In lieu of community service
\$(10%) Admin. fee	
\$(1070) Addition to	Busperided / all soop our
\$\$ Security fee per 1465.8(a)(1) PC.	CTS: days + 4019 PC time =Tota
SHOW PROOF OF: Compilance will vacate apposizance date	conc consec with
Fine / tees, paid in full by	Alternative pontanoing program - recommended - denied
hrs Comm Serv/Volunteer work by	[T] recommended QCNICO
Restitution paid by	Work release Only Peconimended Decine
FTA Clearance in	
Re-entoli DUI class/8838/	☐ Report to ☐ PROFESSIONAL MONITORING ☐ SDF
w/indays	(shin 3 WARKING GBV\$
Complete by Thru _ Prob _ Court	BOOK & RELEASE by (8 am - 4 pm. Monday thru Friday)
The state of the company of the state of the	Pay lall fees of \$ per day or custody.
to, from, during employment , DUI school / program, jail	Jail tees walved of fine unpaid
	or pay the tine \$
PROB. VIOLATION PROC. PROGRESS REPT.	RA REMANDED until next appearance - NO CITE RELEASE
Deft admits denies, probation violation, based on:	A= requirement
new violation(s) in Case #, or	Bail set at \$ No Bail Cash or
☐ IteM AIDIBIDA(4) (1. AMB) (1	Bail set at \$
	Reduced/increased to \$ authorities
Counsel voir dires delendant submits walver of rights	Until picked up by authoritie
	Allowedphone callsat County expense
rights, finding admission to be tree a voluntary with accordance	Allowed phone cans are country business h
factual basis. Peo's witness(es):	
	at the Sheriff's discretion
Def's witness(eB):	at the Sherin's discretion
Def's witness(es):	Release to P.O. for program screening placement Refer for SOR CTS repo
Gourt finds deft. in violation diamiases the violation. No action taken. revokes probation, based or:	RELEASED / CONTINUED ☐ OR ☐ SOR ☐ BAIL ☐ DEJ ☐ PROBATION ☐ in custody other case(s) ☐ INTP ☐ INTP PENDI
☐ Referred for ☐ Supplemental ☐ Progress	RELEASE PENDING OTHER HOLDS
report.	Release conditions: Obey all laws
☐ Report to Probation ☐ today ☐ by	Release conditions: Totally abstain from the use of alcohol illicit drug Submit to alcohol drug testing x'a pe
11	
☐ Probation is: ☐ continued ☐ same terms/conditions ☐ full force & effect.	Do not enter any public place where the primary item ecordispensed is an intoxicating liquor.
	or dispensed is an informating injust. AttendAA or NA mtgs. D per wk / mo D in
☐ reinstated - SEE PROBATION ORDER FOR MODIFICATION(S).	III) Cales proof to could
MODIFICATIONS	Do not drive without a valid driver's license. Make & keep appointment with attorney / Probation.
terminated as uccessful unsuccessful	
Pet, granted per §1203.4 PC reduced to misd, per §17 PC	☐ Do not possess any weapons of armining Stay away fr
Pet, granted per 1210 1(d) PC. Case dismissed.	
Pat granted bel (2) VIII V	☐ Do not herass, annoy, threaten☐ CLETS order signed, filed, faxed. ☐ Served on defenda
	CLETS order signed, filed, taxed Served on determine
Any unfulfilled terms in (
Any unfulfilled forms in (transferred to Case #	☐ Others
Any unfulfilled forms in () transferred to Case #	☐ Others
Any unfulfilled ferms in () transferred to Case #	Other:
Any unfulfilled ferms in () transferred to Case #	Other:
transferred to Case # TO THE SHERIFF: 1 CERTIFY THE FOREGOING TO BE A TRUE C	OPY OF THE JUDGMENT RENDERED ON THIS DATE BY THE
TO THE SHERIFF: I CERTIFY THE FOREGOING TO BE A TRUE O	Other:
TO THE SHERIFF: I CERTIFY THE FOREGOING TO BE A TRUE CABOVE-NAMED JUDGE.	BYBMA_LL
TO THE SHERIFF: I CERTIFY THE FOREGOING TO BE A TRUE OF ABOVE-NAMED JUDGE. LINDA G. ASHCRAFT, CLERK / CEO ELECTRICAL SHEEL ASSISTS ON HIS / HER DWN RECOGNIZANCE AGREES TH.	BY STORY OF THE JUDGMENT RENDERED ON THIS DATE BY THE
TO THE SHERIFF: I CERTIFY THE FOREGOING TO BE A TRUE OF ABOVE-NAMED JUDGE. LINDA G. ASHCRAFT, CLERK / CEO ELECTRICAL SHEEL ASSISTS ON HIS / HER DWN RECOGNIZANCE AGREES TH.	BY STORY OF THE JUDGMENT RENDERED ON THIS DATE BY THE
TO THE SHERIFF: I CERTIFY THE FOREGOING TO BE A TRUE OF ABOVE-NAMED JUDGE. LINDA G. ASHCRAFT, CLERK / CEO DEFENDANT, BEING RELEASED ON HIS / HER DWN RECOGNIZANCE AGREES THAT I) Defendent promises to appear at all times and places, as ordered by a promises to obey all reasonable signatures. Straightful II the defendant files to appear as required and is apprehended outside.	AT: AT: AT: AT: AT: AT: AT: AT:
TO THE SHERIFF: I CERTIFY THE FOREGOING TO BE A TRUE OF ABOVE-NAMED JUDGE. LINDA G. ASHCRAFT, CLERK / CEO DEFENDANT, BEING RELEASED ON HIS / HER DWN RECOGNIZANCE AGREES THAT I) Defendant promises to appear at all times and places, as ordered by a promises to obey all reasonable panditions imposed by the court or magnistrict, 3) dafa	AT: AT: AT: AT: AT: AT: AT: AT:
TO THE SHERIFF: I CERTIFY THE FOREGOING TO BE A TRUE OF ABOVE-NAMED JUDGE. LINDA G. ASHCRAFT, CLERK / CEO DEFENDANT, BEING RELEASED ON HIS / HER DWN RECOGNIZANCE AGREES THAT I) Defendant promises to appear at all times and places, as ordered by a promises to obey all reasonable panditions imposed by the court or magnistrict, 3) dafa	AT: AT: AT: AT: AT: AT: AT: AT:
transferred to Case # TO THE SHERIFF: I CERTIFY THE FOREGOING TO BE A TRUE CABOVE-NAMED JUDGE. LINDA G. ASHCRAFT, CLERK / CEO LINDA G. ASHCRAFT, CLERK / CEO DEFENDANT, BEING RELEASED ON HIS / HER OWN RECOGNIZANCE AGREES TH. 1) Defendant promises to appear at all times and places, as ordered by a promises to obey all reasonable sanditions imposed by the sound or majoristate; 3) data waite stated that it has defendant fails to appear as required and is apprehended outside consequences and pendities apprehende to violetion of the conditions of releases. Defendant understands that it charged with a midemensor or an infraction observed to the conditions of releases. Defendant understands that it charged with a fellony end released and willfull years in state prison, or one (1) yells in county jail and a fine not to exceed ten thousand	AT: AT: AT: AT: AT: AT: AT: AT:
TO THE SHERIFF: I CERTIFY THE FOREGOING TO BE A TRUE CABOVE-NAMED JUDGE: LINDA G. ASHCRAFT, CLERK / CEO DEFENDANT, BEING RELEASED ON HIS / HER OWN RECOGNIZANCE AGREES TH. 1) Defendant promission to appear at all times and places, as ordered by a promises to obey all reasonable conditions imposed by the court or magnistrate; 3) data waive stratistion if the defendant light is appear as required and is apprehended outside consequences and penalties appropriate to violation of the conditions of release. Defendant understands that it charged with a misdemeanor or an intraction punished by not more than six (8) months in county just, as me thousand dollar (\$1,000) for the condition of the conditions of the conditions of the conditions of releases. Defendant understands that if charged with a felony and released and willfull years in assist prison, or one (1) yes in county just and stress of the exceed ten thousand DEFENDANT.	OPY OF THE JUDGMENT RENDERED ON THIS DATE BY THE BY ATTALL AT: ny coun in which, or any magistrate before whom, the charge is pending: 2) data midship promises not to depart this state without leave of the court, 4; defendant sign interest promises and to depart this state without leave of the court, 4; defendant sign is the State of Califfornia, and; 5) defendant acknowledges (s) he has been informed in and released and writhully falls to appear. (s)he is guilty of a misdemeanor and in line, or both. If the state of Califfornia, (s)he is guilty of a felony and may be punished by not more than the dollare (\$10,000) or both.
TO THE SHERIFF: I CERTIFY THE FOREGOING TO BE A TRUE CABOVE-NAMED JUDGE. LINDA G. ASHCRAFT, CLERK / CEO DEFENDANT, BEING RELEASED ON HIS / HER DWN RECOGNIZANCE AGREES TH. 1) Defendant promises to appear at all times and places, as ordered by a promises to obey all reasonable sandtilions imposed by the sound or majoristate; 3) data waited sandtilions and pendendant promises to appear as required and is apprehended outside consequences and pendities apprehended to violation of the conditions of releases. Defendant understands that it charged with a miledemeanor or an infraction of punished by not infrom the in county just, as one thousand dollar (\$1,000) for the conditions of the conditions of releases. Defendant understands that it charged with a felony and released and willfull years in state prison, or one (1) year in county just and a fine not to exceed ten thousand delice.	BY STIPS ALL AT: AT: AT: AT: AT: AT: AT:

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA OFF CAL COUNTY OF SOLAND Criminal Minute Order NOV 0 3 2005 CASE NO (S):_ PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA VS DATE: JERICE YATON HUNTER. JUDGE D. SCOTT DANKELS not present Defendant JUDGE/COMM: PEOPLE: REPORTER: -CLERK: -INTERPRETER Spanish Deft I.D. #: 0394833 Count(s) / Charges(a): Z73d(a) -PC, 273d(a) -PC, 273d(a) -PC, 273d(a) -PC Arrest Agency: VJPD Deft Status: [3] Dr. License #: DOB: 10/13/1973 Violation Date: 04/20/2005 Citation #: V053478 Booking #: 05-14570 D.A. #:" FOR ALL PURPOSES THIS CASE IS ASSIGNED TO JUDGE BENCH WARRANT / BAIL ORDERS ARR / FUR. ARR [] COUNSEL/PLEA [] POSS DISM ADMIT/DENY PROC PROG RPT NO CITE RELEASE B / W 🔲 Ordered - Bail \$ □PTXRC□RCSP□RCTS□TRC□ B / W | Ordered - Ball S | Stayed | To issue | Remain out | OR / SOR Revoked | DEJ Revoked | Probation Revoked ☐ Vacated ☐ Recalled from ☐ S.O. ☐ ☐ Advised pursuant to §967.8 PC. ☐ Financial statement filed. Delt ☐ etigible ☐ ineligible, for coun-appdinted counsel. Ball Forfeited - Bond # ____ Cash In lieu of fine P.D. registration fee ordered waived. Notice of bail forfeiture stayed to _ declares conflict, relieved as counsel. Reinstated Exonerated after 15 days if no Comp. filed. to represent deft. Court appoints ☐ Reassumption of liability filed. Deft waives counsel. Waives arraignment Waives advice of rights Time Walved Pro Rata for Trial J&S Not Walved Arraigned Advised of rights Deft's true name 🗌 above stated 🔲 Handed ☐ atty ☐ deft: ☐ Complaint ☐ information ☐ Discov. ☐ Police rept: ☐ Transcript ☐ INTP ☐ PENDING ☐ Court grants DA's Motion to Amend to ☐ Add ☐ Reduce Jury Triel 🔲 Demanded -- Est. time for trial at Set of TRLC (or see below)..... Section(s) Cnt(s). Deft withdrawa previous plea(s) & pleads Not Guilty by Reason of Insanity Noto Contendere Dett pleads: NG Plea date ☐ Last date for trial _ Not Guilty Guilty SEE PAGE 3 RE:_ to all count(s) count(a) section(s) Criminal proceedings : suspended : reinstated Delt ordered to complete D.A. Diversion ☐ Dett placed on Informal Diversion for 6 months re dismissal. Pursuant to ☐ Peo va West ☐ §17 PC ☐ §1192.5 PC Reserve right to file demurrer. On Motion of Court DA Defense Probation, M CONT. TO V12-1-05 Prior(s) FOR: (See below) Admit Deny____ DEPT _ Enhancement(s) ☐ Admit ☐ Deny_ Psych, Report(s) Further Arraignment Deft voir dired by Crt Counsel. Submits waiver of rights M. H. Placement Report S.O.R / CTS Report Supplemental Report Counsel / Plea SEE DEFERRED ENTRY OF JUDGMENT ORDER. **Judgment and Sentence** Stay on Bench Warrant Setting: PLIM Motions / Ruling on Motions Based on Noto plea Court finds defendent guilty Sentencing on PV Based on Noto plea Court into Section 1 Court accepts plea and finds plea is free & voluntary Placement Report Intelligent waiver of rights is given Change of Plea tectual basis established based on police rept prelim transcript damission Progress Report □DEJ Pretrial Conference TRC Admit / Deny Prob. Viol RC RCSP ACTS TMC Revocation Proceedings Court / JURY Trial - Calling \$1551 PC Proceedings Admit / Deny Prob. Viol. Admit / Deny Proceedings Revocation Proceedings DISMISSED. ☐ Case ☐ Count(s)_ on motion of DA Court W/ Marvey waiver Referral waived. W/ Restitution Time Waived 10 / 60 Not Waived Pro Rata for Trial J&S Last day for PX X VACATE 11-7-05 Cause M. C. Further Proc. re:____ SEE PROBATION ORDER. Referred to Probation for report re: pre-sentence supp rpt Formal Revocation Hearing, re: SOR CTS | placement | Deff to report to Prob. 🗋 today 📋 by Domer Motion to amen Probation Officer to interview defendar Counsel request setting ☐ §995 ☐ § 538.5 ☐ Discovery Deft's appear, waived if _ motion. Defense file motion by ... OTHER COURT DATE: Det. answer by DA respond by _ DEPT MINUTE ORDER WAIVER TO PROB. ON

Form #405 Rev 8-05

LINCOLN GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY

TWO JINN, INC. GENERAL AGENT

1959 Palomar Oaks Way, Suite 200 Carlabad, CA 92011 Telephone (800) 808-2245 Faxi (760) 431-2698

ALADDIN BAIL BONDS CLAIMS DIVISION

2025 Gateway Place, Suite 235 San Jose, CA 95110

Telephone (408) 487-8670 Fax (408) 487-8692

SECO License # 1843442

ONE S

	(PLACE BAIL AGENT'S ADDRESS STAMP HERE)
BAIL BOND	NO. LG50 - 369981 (POWER OF ATTORNEY WITH THIS NUMBER MUST BE ATTACHED.)
	V / - 11
IN THE Superior	COURT OF THE VAILE D JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COUNTY OF SOLAND	state of <u>Cautynia</u>
THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF $_CG$	4 times
:	Plaintiff, CASE NO. VCR 80941
Jerice Hinter	6) 394833 DIV. NO
	Defendant.
Defendant Jerice Hunt	VAME OF DEFENDANT BOOKING NO.
naving been admitted to ball in the sum of _	Fifm thusand
Dollars (\$5	and ordered to appear in the above-entitled court
on 830m 1-5 2006	on 373 $D(A)P(X3$ charge/s
(DATE OF APPEARANCE)	(STATE "MISDEMEANOR" OR "FELONY")
he above-named court on the date above set forth to a against him/her and as duly authorized amendments	inswer any charges in any accusatory pleading based upon the acts supporting the complaint flied thereof, in whatever court it may be filled and prosecuted, and will at all times hold him/herself it convicted, will appear for pronouncement of judgment or grant of probation; or if he/she fails to
he above-named court on the date above set forth to a against him/her and as duly authorized amendments amenable to the orders and process of the court, and perform either of these conditions, that the LINCOLN state the sum of	IY, a Pennsylvania Corporation hereby undertakes that the above-named defendant will appear in inswer any charges in any accusatory pleading based upon the acts supporting the complaint filed thereof, in whatever court it may be filed and prosecuted, and will at all times hold him/herself if convicted, will appear for pronouncement of judgment or grant of probation; or if he/she fails to GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY, a Pennsylvania Corporation, will pay the people of the said dollars (\$
he above-named court on the date above set forth to a against him/her and as duly authorized amendments amenable to the orders and process of the court, and perform either of these conditions, that the LINCOLN state the sum of this bond be ordered by the Court, NSURANCE COMPANY, a Pennsylvaria Corporation, THIS BOND IS VOID IF WRITTEN FOR GREATER THAN THE POWER OF ATTORN	thereof, in whatever court it may be filed and prosecuted, and will at all times hold him/herself if convicted, will appear for pronouncement of judgment or grant of probation; or if he/she fails to GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY, a Pennsylvania Corporation, will pay the people of the said dollars (\$ 50)
the above-named court on the date above set forth to a significant him/her and as duly authorized amendments imenable to the orders and process of the court, and perform either of these conditions, that the LINCOLN state the sum of this bond be ordered by the Court, NSURANCE COMPANY, a Pennsylvaria Corporation. THIS BOND IS VOID IF WRITTEN FOR GREATER THAN THE POWER OF ATTORN HERETO, IF MORE THAN ONE SUCH POWER	thereof, in whatever court it may be filed and prosecuted, and will at all times hold him/herself if convicted, will appear for pronouncement of judgment or grant of probation; or if he/she fails to GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY, a Pennsylvania Corporation, will pay the people of the said judgment may be summarily made and entered forthwith against the said LINCOLN GENERAL for the amount of its undertaking herein as provided by State Law. AN AMOUNT INSURANCE COMPANY (A Pennsylvania Corporation)
the above-named court on the date above set forth to a significant him/her and as duly authorized amendments imenable to the orders and process of the court, and perform either of these conditions, that the LINCOLN state the sum of this bond be ordered by the Court, NSURANCE COMPANY, a Pennsylvania Corporation, THIS BOND IS VOID IF WRITTEN FOR GREATER THAN THE POWER OF ATTORN	thereof, in whatever court it may be filed and prosecuted, and will at all times hold him/herself it convicted, will appear for pronouncement of judgment or grant of probation; or if he/she fails to GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY, a Pennsylvania Corporation, will pay the people of the said dollars (\$
ne above-named court on the date above set forth to a gainst him/her and as duly authorized amendments menable to the orders and process of the court, and erform either of these conditions, that the LINCOLN state the sum of this bond be oldered by the Court, NSURANCE COMPANY, a Pennsylvaria Corporation, THIS BOND IS VOID IF WRITTEN FOR GREATER THAN THE POWER OF ATTORN HERETO, IF MORE THAN ONE SUCH POWER OR IF WRITTEN AFTER THE EXPIRATI	thereof, in whatever court it may be filed and prosecuted, and will at all times hold him/herself if convicted, will appear for pronouncement of judgment or grant of probation; or if he/she fails to GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY, a Pennsylvania Corporation, will pay the people of the said judgment may be summarily made and entered forthwith against the said LINCOLN GENERAL for the amount of its undertaking herein as provided by State Law. AN AMOUNT IEY ATTACHED (A Pennsylvania Corporation) LINCOLN GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY (A Pennsylvania Corporation) (SEAL)
ne above-named court on the date above set forth to a gainst him/her and as duly authorized amendments menable to the orders and process of the court, and erform either of these conditions, that the LINCOLN state the sum of these conditions, that the LINCOLN is the forteiture of this bond be ordered by the Court, NSURANCE COMPANY, a Pennsylvaria Corporation, THIS BOND IS VOID IF WRITTEN FOR GREATER THAN THE POWER OF AITORN HERETO, IF MORE THAN ONE SUCH POWER OR IF WRITTEN AFTER THE EXPIRATION SPECIFIED ON THE ATTACHED POWER OF A	thereof, in whatever court it may be filed and prosecuted, and will at all times hold him/herself it convicted, will appear for pronouncement of judgment or grant of probation; or if he/she fails to GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY, a Pennsylvania Corporation, will pay the people of the said dollars (\$
ne above-named court on the date above set forth to a gainst him/her and as duly authorized amendments imenable to the orders and process of the court, and serform either of these conditions, that the LINCOLN state the sum of this bond be ordered by the Court, NSURANCE COMPANY, a Pennsylvaria Corporation, THIS BOND IS VOID IF WRITTEN FOR GREATER THAN THE POWER OF ATTORN HERETO, IF MORE THAN ONE SUCH POWER OR IF WRITTEN AFTER THE EXPIRATION SPECIFIED ON THE ATTACHED POWER OF A Certify under penalty of perjury that I am a	thereof, in whatever court it may be filed and prosecuted, and will at all times hold him/herself it convicted, will appear for pronouncement of judgment or grant of probation; or if he/she fails to GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY, a Pennsylvania Corporation, will pay the people of the said dollars (\$
the above-named court on the date above set forth to a significant him/her and as duly authorized amendments intenable to the orders and process of the court, and perform either of these conditions, that the LINCOLN is tall the sum of this bond be ordered by the Court, NSURANCE COMPANY, a Pennsylvaria Corporation, THIS BOND IS VOID IF WRITTEN FOR GREATER THAN THE POWER OF ATTORN HERETO, IF MORE THAN ONE SUCH POWER OR IF WRITTEN AFTER THE EXPIRATION SPECIFIED ON THE ATTACHED POWER OF A Certify under penalty of perjury that I am a shat I am executing this bond on	thereof, in whatever court it may be filed and prosecuted, and will at all times hold him/herself if convicted, will appear for pronouncement of judgment or grant of probation; or if he/she fails to GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY, a Pennsylvania Corporation, will pay the people of the said dollars (\$ 50 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000
he above-named court on the date above set forth to a against him/her and as duly authorized amendments amenable to the orders and process of the court, and perform either of these conditions, that the LINCOLN is the forfeiture of this bond be ordered by the Court, NSURANCE COMPANY, a Pennsylvaria Corporation, THIS BOND IS VOID IF WRITTEN FOR GREATER THAN THE POWER OF ATTORN HERETO, IF MORE THAN ONE SUCH POWER OR IF WRITTEN AFTER THE EXPIRATION SPECIFIED ON THE ATTACHED POWER OF A Certify under penalty of perjury that I am a sthat I am executing this bond on	thereof, in whatever court it may be filed and prosecuted, and will at all times hold him/herself if convicted, will appear for pronouncement of judgment or grant of probation; or if he/she fails to GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY, a Pennsylvania Corporation, will pay the people of the said judgment may be summarily made and entered forthwith against the said LINCOLN GENERAL for the amount of its undertaking herein as provided by State Law. LINCOLN GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY (A Pennsylvania Corporation) By PETER BOTZ ATTORNEY. AN AMOUNT BY ATTORNEY. By CEAL (SEAL) GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY and
the above-named court on the date above set forth to a against him/her and as duly authorized amendments amenable to the orders and process of the court, and perform either of these conditions, that the LINCOLN is the forfeiture of this bond be ordered by the Court, NSURANCE COMPANY, a Pennsylvaria Corporation, THIS BOND IS VOID IF WRITTEN FOR GREATER THAN THE POWER OF ATTORN HERETO, IF MORE THAN ONE SUCH POWER OR IF WRITTEN AFTER THE EXPIRATI SPECIFIED ON THE ATTACHED POWER OF A Certify under penalty of perjury that I am a that I am executing this bond on	Inswer any charges in any accusatory pleading based upon the acts supporting the complaint fleed thereof, in whatever court it may be filed and prosecuted, and will at all times hold him/herself if convicted, will appear for pronouncement of judgment or grant of probation; or if he/she fails to GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY, a Pennaylvania Corporation, will pay the people of the said judgment may be summarily made and entered forthwith against the said LINCOLN GENERAL for the amount of its undertaking herein as provided by State Law. AN AMOUNT IS ATTACHED INSURANCE COMPANY (A Pennsylvania Corporation) By PETER BOTZ ATTORNEY. By CANTED (CATE) (CATE) (CATE) (CATE) (CATE) (COCATION)
the above-named court on the date above set forth to a against him/her and as duly authorized amendments amenable to the orders and process of the court, and perform either of these conditions, that the LINCOLN state the sum of this bond be ordered by the Court, INSURANCE COMPANY, a Pennsylvania Corporation, THIS BOND IS VOID IF WRITTEN FOR GREATER THAN THE POWER OF AITORN HERETO, IF MORE THAN ONE SUCH POWER OR IF WRITTEN AFTER THE EXPIRATIONS SPECIFIED ON THE ATTACHED POWER OF A	thereof, in whatever court it may be filed and prosecuted, and will at all times hold him/herself it convicted, will appear for pronouncement of judgment or grant of probation; or if he/she fails to GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY, a Pennsylvania Corporation, will pay the people of the said dollars (\$

- 11	
1	It is further alleged as to count(s) 1,2,3,4,5 pursuant to Penal Code Section 667.5(b) that the defendant GEORGE EDWARD SHOCKLEY, has suffered the following prior conviction(s):
2 3	Court Case Gode/Statute Conviction Date County State Court Type
II	C44318 Penal Code 290(g) 08/26/1997 Solano CA Superior
4 5	and that a term was served as described in Penal Code section 667.5 for said offense(s), and that the defendant did not remain free of prison custody for, and did commit an offense resulting in a
6	felony conviction during, a period of five years subsequent to the conclusion of said term.
7 8	It is further alleged that the defendant GEORGE EDWARD SHOCKLEY AND JERICE YATON HUNTER, did commit acts which aggravate the above crime(s) pursuant to Rules 4.408 and 4.421 of the California Rules of Court.
9	
0	DISCOVERY REQUEST PURSUANT TO PENAL CODE SECTION 1054/1054.7: Pursuant to Penal Code sections 1054 through 1054.7, the People request that, within fifteen (15)
1	days the defendant and/or his/her attorney disclose: (a) The names and addresses of persons,
12	other than the defendant, he/she intends to call as witnesses at trial, together with any relevant written or recorded statements of experts made in connection with the case, and including the
l	results of physical or mental examinations, scientific tests, experiments, or comparisons which
13	the defendant intends to offer in evidence at the trial. This request is a continuing request, to cover not only all such material currently in existence, but also all material which comes into
14	existence to the conclusion of this case.
15	I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND
16	CORRECT AND THAT THIS COMPLAINT CONSISTS OF 5 COUNT(S).
17	
18	Executed at Vallejo, California, on October 21, 2005.
	Jurier Muellies
19	MICHAEL MULLINS DECLARANT AND COMPLAINANT
20	
21	<u>AGENCY</u> : VPD <u>D.A. NO.</u> : V053478
22	
23	Arresting Officer: TRIBBLE, Badge # 587
24	BOOKING CUSTODY R'TN
	DEFENDANT CII NO DOB NO DATE
25	GEORGE EDWARD A08211 4/17/1967 76115
26	GEORGE EDWARD A08211 4/17/1967 76115 SHOCKLEY 886 10/13/1973 05-14570
	JERICE YATON HUNTER A10956 897

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	ľ
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

24

25

26

COUNT 3

On or about and between April 20, 2005 and October 14, 2005, defendant(s) GEORGE EDWARD SHOCKLEY AND JERICE YATON HUNTER did commit a felony namely: CORPORAL INJURY TO CHILD, a violation of Section 273d(a) of the Penal Code of the State of California, County of Solano, in that said defendant did willfully and unlawfully inflict cruel and inhuman corporal punishment and injury, resulting in a traumatic condition, upon a child, to wit, J.H., age 7, female.

COUNT 4

On or about and between April 20, 2005 and October 14, 2005, defendant(s) GEORGE EDWARD SHOCKLEY AND JERICE YATON HUNTER did commit a felony namely: CORPORAL INJURY TO CHILD, a violation of Section 273d(a) of the Penal Code of the State of California, County of Solano, in that said defendant did willfully and unlawfully inflict cruel and inhuman corporal punishment and injury, resulting in a traumatic condition, upon a child, to wit, J.H., age 3, female.

COUNT 5

On or about and between April 20, 2005 and October 15, 2005, defendant(s) GEORGE EDWARD SHOCKLEY did commit a felony namely: FAILURE TO REGISTER, PRIOR CONVICTION, a violation of Section 290(g)(3) of the Penal Code of the State of California, County of Solano, in that said defendant being a person described in paragraph (3) of subdivision (g) of Section 290, did willfully violate a requirement of Section 290 after having been previously convicted of a violation of Section 290.

It is further alleged pursuant to Penal Code sections 1170.12(a) through (d) and 667(b) through (i) as to counts 1,2,3,4,5 that the defendants(s): GEORGE EDWARD SHOCKLEY and JERICE YATON HUNTER, has suffered the following prior conviction of a serious or violent felony or juvenile adjudication:

Court Case Code/Statute Conviction Date County State Court Type

C34584 Penal Code 288(a) 04/29/1993 Solano CA Superior

It is further alleged as to count(s) 1,2,3,4,5 pursuant to Penal Code Section 667.5(b) that the defendant GEORGE EDWARD SHOCKLEY, has suffered the following prior conviction(s):

Court Case Code/Statute Conviction Date County State Court Type
C34584 Penal Code 288(a) 04/29/1993 Solano CA Superior

and that a term was served as described in Penal Code section 667.5 for said offense(s), and that the defendant did not remain free of prison custody for, and did commit an offense resulting in a felony conviction during, a period of five years subsequent to the conclusion of said term.

		1		THE PROPERTY OF STATES
1		6. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.		CORRECT COMMENT COMMEN
2		- 1		03 807 21 AM 9: 22
3		. W	E	LINDA G. ASHCRAFT
4		SUPERIOR COURT		LEPUTY CLERK
5		COUNTY O	F SOLANO	
6				
7	THE PEOPL	E OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNI	A, Plaintiff	
8				No. VCR180818
		vs.	 	1CR 180941
9	:			NY COMPLAINT
10	GEORGE EI	WARD SHOCKLEY (4/17/1967)		
11	✓ JERICE YAT	ON HUNTER (10/13/1973)		
12	·			
	:			
13			Defendant(s)	
14			Describani(s)	
15	<u>@394833</u>			
16	The undersig	ned is informed and believes that:		
17			NIT 1	
18	On or about	COUI nd between April 20, 2005 and Octo	ber 14, 2005, defen	dant(s) GEORGE
19		HOCKLEY AND JERICE YATON INJURY TO CHILD, a violation of		
20	of California	County of Solano, in that said defer	ndant did willfully at	id unlawfully inflict cruel
	and inhuman	corporal punishment and injury, res	ulting in a traumatic	condition, upon a child, to
21	wit, J.H. age	14, male.		
22	:	cou		
23	On or about	nd between April 20, 2005 and Octo	ber 14, 2005, defen	dant(s) GEORGE
24	CORPORAL	HOCKLEY AND JERICE YATON INJURY TO CHILD, a violation of	Section 273d(a) of t	the Penal Code of the State
25	of California	County of Solano, in that said defer	ndant did willfully at	nd unlawfully inflict cruel
26	and inhuman wit. J.H., age	corporal punishment and injury, res	ulting in a traumatic	condition, upon a child, to
7n	1 WIL.J.H. 998	M. PEHINE.		

•
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26

D.A. NO.: V053478	D.A.	NO.	: V	053	478	ļ
-------------------	------	-----	-----	-----	-----	---

Arresting Officer: TRIBBLE, Badge # 587

AGENCY: VPD

DEFENDANT	CII NO	DOB	BOOKING NO	CUSTODY R'TN DATE
GEORGE EDWARD SHOCKLEY JERICE YATON HUNTER	A08211 886 A10956 897	4/17/1967 10/13/1973	76115 05-14570	

_,	C . C . O . I . D . C
1	Court Case Code/Statute Conviction Date County State Court Type C34584 Penal Code 288(a) 04/29/1993 Solano CA Superior
2	It is further alleged as to count(s) 1,2,3,4,5 pursuant to Penal Code Section 667.5(b) that the
3	defendant GEORGE EDWARD SHOCKLEY, has suffered the following prior conviction(s):
4	Court Case Code/Statute Conviction Date County State Court Type
	C34584 Penal Code 288(a) 04/29/1993 Solano CA Superior
5	and that a term was served as described in Penal Code section 667.5 for said offense(s), and that
6	the defendant did not remain free of prison custody for, and did commit an offense resulting in a
7	felony conviction during, a period of five years subsequent to the conclusion of said term.
8	It is further alleged as to count(s) 1,2,3,4,5 pursuant to Penal Code Section 667.5(b) that the
9	defendant GEORGE EDWARD SHOCKLEY, has suffered the following prior conviction(s):
10	Court Case Code/Statute Conviction Date County State Court Type C44318 Penal Code 290(g) 08/26/1997 Solano CA Superior
11	
12	and that a term was served as described in Penal Code section 667.5 for said offense(s), and that
13	the defendant did not remain free of prison custody for, and did commit an offense resulting in a felony conviction during, a period of five years subsequent to the conclusion of said term.
14	
1	It is further alleged that the defendant GEORGE EDWARD SHOCKLEY AND JERICE
15	YATON HUNTER, did commit acts which aggravate the above crime(s) pursuant to Rules 4.408 and 4.421 of the California Rules of Court.
16	
17	DISCOVERY REQUEST PURSUANT TO PENAL CODE SECTION 1054/1054.7: Pursuant to Penal Code sections 1054 through 1054.7, the People request that, within fifteen (15)
18	days, the defendant and/or his/her attorney disclose: (a) The names and addresses of persons,
19	other than the defendant, he/she intends to call as witnesses at trial, together with any relevant
j	written or recorded statements of experts made in connection with the case, and including the results of physical or mental examinations, scientific tests, experiments, or comparisons which
20	the defendant intends to offer in evidence at the trial. This request is a continuing request, to
21	cover not only all such material currently in existence, but also all material which comes into
22	existence to the conclusion of this case.
23	I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND
	CORRECT AND THAT THIS COMPLAINT CONSISTS OF 6 COUNT(S).
24	Executed at Vallejo, California, on October 26, 2005
25	executed at variety, Carnorma, on October 20, 2011
26	TERRY RAY
	DECLARANT AND COMPLAINANT

COUNT 2

On or about and between April 20, 2005 and October 14, 2005, defendant(s) GEORGE EDWARD SHOCKLEY AND JERICE YATON HUNTER did commit a felony namely: CORPORAL INJURY TO CHILD, a violation of Section 273d(a) of the Penal Code of the State of California. County of Solano, in that said defendant did willfully and unlawfully inflict cruel and inhuman corporal punishment and injury, resulting in a traumatic condition, upon a child, to wit, J.H. age 14, male.

COUNT 3

On or about and between April 20, 2005 and October 14, 2005, defendant(s) GEORGE EDWARD SHOCKLEY AND JERICE YATON HUNTER did commit a felony namely: CORPORAL INJURY TO CHILD, a violation of Section 273d(a) of the Penal Code of the State of California. County of Solano, in that said defendant did willfully and unlawfully inflict cruel and inhuman corporal punishment and injury, resulting in a traumatic condition, upon a child, to wit, J.H., age 9, female.

COUNT 4

On or about and between April 20, 2005 and October 14, 2005, defendant(s) GEORGE EDWARD SHOCKLEY AND JERICE YATON HUNTER did commit a felony namely: CORPORAL INJURY TO CHILD, a violation of Section 273d(a) of the Penal Code of the State of California, County of Solano, in that said defendant did willfully and unlawfully inflict cruel and inhuman corporal punishment and injury, resulting in a traumatic condition, upon a child, to wit, J.H., age 7, female.

COUNT 5

On or about and between April 20, 2005 and October 14, 2005, defendant(s) GEORGE EDWARD SHOCKLEY AND JERICE YATON HUNTER did commit a felony namely: CORPORAL INJURY TO CHILD, a violation of Section 273d(a) of the Penal Code of the State of California, County of Solano, in that said defendant did willfully and unlawfully inflict cruel and inhuman corporal punishment and injury, resulting in a traumatic condition, upon a child, to wit, J.H., age 3, female.

COUNT 6

On or about and between April 20, 2005 and October 15, 2005, defendant(s) GEORGE EDWARD SHOCKLEY did commit a felony namely: FAILURE TO REGISTER, PRIOR CONVICTION, a violation of Section 290(g)(3) of the Penal Code of the State of California, County of Solano, in that said defendant being a person described in paragraph (3) of subdivision (g) of Section 290, did willfully violate a requirement of Section 290 after having been previously convicted of a violation of Section 290.

It is further alleged pursuant to Penal Code sections 1170.12(a) through (d) and 667(b) through (i) as to counts 1,2,3,4,5 that the defendants(s): GEORGE EDWARD SHOCKLEY and JERICE YATON HUN TER, has suffered the following prior conviction of a serious or violent felony or juvenile adjudication:

ENDORSED FILED

DEC 0 1 2005

LINDAG ASHCHAFT

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SOLANO

19

20

21

24

25

26

crime."

COUNTY	
F THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff Case No. VCR180818 VCR180941	
vs. SECOND AMENDED FELONY COMPLAINT	
LEDWARD SHOCKLEY (4/17/1967)	
E YATON HUNTER (10/13/1973)	
Defendant(s)	\
and believes that:	SE EDWARD
The undersigned is informed and believes that: COUNT 1	TURE, a Mano, in that and suffering
On or about and between April 1, 2005 and October 14, 2005, defendant(s) GEORG SHOCKLEY AND JERICE YATON HUNTER did commit a felony namely: of SHOCKLEY AND JERICE YATON HUNTER did commit and extreme pain violation of Section 206 of the Penal Code of the State of California, County of Shockley And Jerica 206 of the Penal Code of the State of California, extreme pain violation of Section 206 of the Penal Code of the state of California, extreme pain and defendant did unlawfully and with the intent to cause cruel and extreme pain said defendant did unlawfully and with the intent to cause cruel and extreme pain said defendant did unlawfully and with the intent to cause of the purpose of revenge, extortion, persuasion and for a sadistic purpose for the purpose of revenge, extortion, persuasion and for a provide specimens and for the purpose of revenge, extortion, persuasion and for provide specimens and the purpose of revenge, extortion, persuasion and for a provide specimens and the purpose of revenge, extortion, persuasion and for a provide specimens and the purpose of revenge, extortion, persuasion and for a provide specimens and the purpose of revenge, extortion, persuasion and for a provide specimens and the purpose of revenge, extortion, persuasion and for a provide specimens and the purpose of revenge, extortion, persuasion and for a provide specimens and the purpose of revenge and the purpose of rev	t great boding
On or about and DD JERICE YATE SHOCKLEY AND JE	ind samples is t
for the P defined in 1 section of this offense will require 1 injury, as defined in 1 section 296. Willful refusal to provide in 22	
23 "NOTICE: Code section pursuant to Penal Code section pursua	